The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-05-49 =	077-34-14 = -	
Pennsylvania [42]	Franklin County [05	5]	Southampton [71912]	2 MI. S.E. OF MOWERSVILLE			40-03-47 = 40.096944	77.570556	
284018007000000 Highway agency district 8			Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Ag	ency [01]		
Route 0 SR 4018			Toll On free road [3] Features intersected CONODOG			UINET CREEK			
Design - Masonry [8] main  Arch - Deck	[11]	Design - approach  Other	· [00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 18 Skew angle 0 Historical signif	) Structure F				
Total length 31.1 m = 102.0 ft Length of maximum span 9.4 m = 30.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.3 m = 20.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5 m = 16.4 ft  Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5 m = 16.4 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft									
Deck structure type  Type of wearing surface		Not applicable [N] Not applicable (applie	es only to structures with no	deck) [N]					
Deck protection Not applicable (applie		ies only to structures with no deck) [N]							
Type of membrane/wearing surface  Not applicable (applies only to structures with no deck) [N]									
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length  2.4 km = 1.5 mi  Method to determine inventory rating  Method to determine operating rating		No rating analysis performed [5]  No rating analysis performed [5]		Inventory rating Operating rating					
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			egal loads [5]		Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]				

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 807 Average daily tru	uck traffi 4 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 342 Year 2013									
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 8.2 m = 26.9 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]  Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0									
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 39 m = 128.0 ft Total project cost 1000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimun	to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	ondition ratings - superstructur Poor [4]		Equal to prese	ria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intole	igh priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]								
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequace	Better than present minimum	Better than present minimum criteria [7]			Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection					47.8				
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date August 2009 [0809] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months									
Underwater inspection Every two years [Y24]		Underwater inspection date June 2005 [0605]			5]				
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in:	spection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	ed [N] Other special inspection date							