The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						41-36-49 =	076-02-48 = -
Pennsylvania [42]	Wyoming County [13	1]	Meshoppen [48856]	MESHOPPEN BO 181' N T	R 6	41.613611	76.046667
650267001001810	Highway agenc	cy district 4	Owner State Highway	Agency [01] Ma	intenance responsibility	State Highway Ag	ency [01]
Route 267	SR 02	67 TR 267	Toll On fr	ee road [3] Feature	es intersected MESHOPP	'EN CREEK	
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - Conc approach	rete [1]	Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0  Year built 1934		[0000]	
Truss - Thru	u [10]	2 Tee k	peam [04]		Structure Flared	T [CCCC]	
				Historical significance	Bridge is not eligible for	the NRHP. [5]	
Total length 65.2 m =	= 213.9 ft Len	gth of maximum sp	an 43.9 m = 144.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 7.7	m = 25.3 ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-	curb 7 m = 23.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	7 m = 23.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	vidth - left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft	Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	1.5 m = 4.9 ft
Deck structure type	C	oncrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]				
Type of wearing surface	ce M	onolithic Concrete	(concurrently placed with st	ructural deck) [1]			
Deck protection	E	poxy Coated Reinfo	orcing [1]				
Type of membrane/we	earing surface						
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length	Method to determ	ine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inventor	y rating 27.2 metric ton	= 29.9 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to determ	ine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Operatin	g rating 45.4 metric ton	= 49.9 tons	
	Bridge posting	10.0 - 19.9 % belo	ow [3]	Design L	oad M 13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 2185 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic 3059 Year 2029									
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7 m = 23.0 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.01 m = 13.2 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0									
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 65.2 m = 213.9 ft Total project cost 2000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted for ot	her load-capacity restriction [R]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - superstructur	Condition ratings - superstructur Fair [5]		Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]						
Scour Bridge foundations determin		d to be stable for assesse	ed or calculated	scour condition. [5]			
		minor repairs. River conto inor amounts of drift. [7]	rol devices and (	embankment prote	ction have a little minor damage.		
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cri	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			Functionally obsolete [2]		
Pier or abutment protection				sufficiency rating	54.6		
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transition							
Traffic safety features - approach							
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends						
Inspection date June 2008 [0	Designated inspe	ection frequency 12	Mon	nths			
Underwater inspection Every two years [Y24]		Underwater inspec	Underwater inspection date  June 2008 [0608]				
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	al inspection date				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date				