HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation											41-22-18.00	074-41-48.00
Pennsylvania [42] Pi		Pike	Pike County [103]			Matan	Matamoras [48048] MATAMORAS BO		RAS BOR	BORO @ JCT 1017		41.371667	= -74.696667
29810 Highway agency district: 4			Owne	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsi			nce responsibilit	y State Highway	Agency [01]				
Route 6 SR 0006 TR 6				Toll On fro	ee road [3]		Features inte	rsected DELAW	/ARE RIVER				
Design - main Steel [3] Truss - Thru [10]			Design - approach O Other [00]			Kilometerpoint 4910.3 km = 3044 Year built 1939 Year re Skew angle 0 Structure		r reconstructed	N/A [0000]				
Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5] Total length 200.9 m = 659.2 ft Length of maximum span 99.1 m = 325.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 14 m = 45.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 13.4 m													
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance Deck structure type Type of wearing surface Deck protection 13.4 m = 44.0 ft Open Grating [3]					Curb or sidewalk w	ridth - left	1.5 m = 4	.9 ft	Curb or	sidewalk width - right	1.5 m = 4.9 ft		
	embrane/we	earing s	surface										
Weight Limits Bypass, detour length 1.8 km = 1.1 mi Method to determine inventory range of the control of th			rating L	oad Factor(LF) [1] oad Factor(LF) [1]			ventory ratinę		ton = 36.0 tons ton = 59.8 tons				
		Br	ridge posti	ing E	qual to or a	bove legal load	ds [5]		D	esign Load	MS 18 / HS 20 [5]	

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 15571 Average daily tr	uck traffi 3 % Year 2013 Future average da	aily traffic 18301 Year 2032					
Road classification	[02] Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 13.4 m = 44.0 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation	control					
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0	= N/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$ Minimum ve	rtical clearance over bridge roadway 5 m = 16.4 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
During A During And A District							
Repair and Replacement Plans	W 1 1 1 W 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 1000						
op.ass.mer.[ee]	Length of structure improvement 205 m = 672.6 ft	Total project cost 3000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2010						
	Border bridge - state Unknown [362]	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state 50					
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	criteria [9]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 77.7						
Culverts Not applicable. Used in Traffic safety features - railings	f structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - transition									
Traffic safety features - approach									
Traffic safety features - approach									
Inspection date June 2013 [0		ection frequency 24	4 Months						
Underwater inspection	Unknown [N00]	Underwater inspec	ection date						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	nspection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	eded [N] Other special inspection date							