The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Infor	rmation									40-59-12 =	076-09-54 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Luzerne County [079]			Nesco	Nescopeck [52992] 0.8 MI NORTH OF SR 3021			40.986667	76.165000			
407204035647060 Highway agency district 4			Owne	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			responsibility	County Highway	Agency [02]		
Route 0 T-356 MTN MILL RD)	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected NESCOPEO				CK CREEK		
Design - Aluminum, Wrought Iron or Cast Iron [9] 1 Truss - Thru [10]		approach			Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi Year built 1883						
Total length 18.9 m = 62.0 ft Length of maximum span 18 m = 59.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.4 m = 14.4 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.4 m = 14.4 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft											
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]				out of statistics	idur ioit				wan ngin	o m olo n	
Type of wearing surface											
Deck protec	Deck protection										
Type of membrane/wearing surface											
Weight Lim	nits										
Bypass, detour length 0.5 km = 0.3 mi Method to determine inventory Method to determine operating			mine inventory rating Load Factor(LF		oad Factor(LF) [1]	I] Inv		ntory rating	7.3 metric ton =	8.0 tons	
			rating L	load Factor(LF) [1]		Oper	ating rating	ting rating 12.7 metric ton = 14.0 t			
Bridge posting							Desiç	Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 150 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic 200 Year 2028							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 5.2 m = 17.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 10 m = 32.8 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 24 m = 78.7 ft Total project cost 0							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring	g high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determ required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being er channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequace	y Equal to present desirable	criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	18.3					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	f structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	s Inpected f	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends								
Inspection date October 2008 [1008] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date							
·	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical in:		8 [1008]					
Other special inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Other special insp	ection date						