The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-28-20 =	079-22-54 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Forest County [053]		Green [30808] GREEN TOWNSHIP		41.472222	79.381667			
273004015000000 Highway agency district 1		Owner State Highway Agency [01] Main		Maintenance	ance responsibility State Highway Agency [01]		ency [01]	
Route 0 SR 3004,NEBRASKA Toll On free road [3] Features intersected OVER TIONESTA DAM CREEK								
Design - main Design - approach Truss - Thru [10] Design - approach		approach	[00]	Kilometerpoint 118 Year built 1933 Skew angle 0	1182.1 km = 732.9 mi 33 Year reconstructed 1999 Structure Flared			
				Historical significance	Historic	al significance is	not determinable at t	his time. [4]
Total length 57 m = 187.0 ft Length of maximum span 56.1 m = 184.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 7.8 m = 25.6 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.1 m = 23.3 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.1 m = 23.3 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft							0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]								
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinfo			orcing [1]					
Type of membrane/weari	ng surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		entory rating	27.2 metric ton	= 29.9 tons		
1.8 km = 1.1 mi Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		erating rating	45.4 metric ton	= 49.9 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Des	Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 193 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic	291 Year 2025						
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 5.2 m = 17.1 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4 m = 13.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Don't and Don't arranged Disco								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway im	mprovement cost 0						
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft	Total project cost 0						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Bo	Forder bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for Io	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable crit	eria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring	high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Better than present	minimum criteria [7]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	49.8					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings	Inp	pected feature meets currently acce	ed feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	S								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date						
·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins							
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special inspe	9]						