## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

## 2010 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information Pennsylvania [42]		Mercer County [085]		East Lackawannock [213] OLD MERCER RE			D E.LACK TWP		41-11-22 = 41.189444	080-13-42 = - 80.228333	
437204040126060		Highway agency district 1			Owner County Highway Agency [02]				laintenance responsibility County Highway Agency [02]		
Route	Route 7204 BRIDGE 2606,T-401			Г-401		Toll On fre	e road [3]	Features interse	ected OVER NES	HANNOCK CREEK	
Design - mainSteel [3]Design - approach1Truss - Thru [10]0Ot				Year built 1922			Structure	Year reconstructed   N/A [0000]     Structure Flared			
Total length     31.7 m = 104.0 ft     Length of maximum span     31.1 m = 102.0 ft     Deck width, out-to-out     5.7 m = 18.7 ft     Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb     5.2 m = 17.1 ft											
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.2 m = 17.1 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.2 m = 0.7 ft 0.2 m = 0.7 ft 0.2 m = 0.7 ft											
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]											
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (				(concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]							
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinfor			cing [1]								
Type of membrane/wearing surface											
Weight I	Limits										
	ypass, detour length Method to determine inve			ory rating	rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2]			Inventory rating	26.3 metric ton	= 28.9 tons	
0.8 km =	0.8 km = 0.5 mi Method to determine operating rating			ting rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]			Operating rating	43.5 metric ton	= 47.9 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above le			jal loads [5]			Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]					

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic       423       Average daily tr	truck traffi 4 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 590 Year 2029								
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure1Approach roadway width3.7 m = 12.1 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4 m = 13.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost       0       Roadway improvement cost       0								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement32 m = 105.0 ftTotal project cost1000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2002								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo		opraisal ratings - ructural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]		opraisal ratings - adway alignment	is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	de							
Scour	Bridge found required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Equal to pre	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			Status evaluation	Structurally deficien	t [1]		
Pier or abutment protection					Sufficiency rating	48			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a c	ulvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	IS								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail						]		
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends						]		
Inspection date September 2009 [0909] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection		Underwater inspe	ction date						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]		Fracture critical in	spection date					
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	year [Y12]		ection date	September 200				