## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-54-45.72 =	078-01-44.75
Pennsylvania [42] Potter County [105]		Hebron [33512] 1000 FT SE OF SR 244				41.912700	= -78.029097	
30452	Highway agency	y district: 2	Owner Town or Towns	ship Highway Agency [03]	Maintenance r	esponsibility	Town or Township	Highway Agency [03]
Route 0	T-300	TOAD HLLW RD	Toll On fr	ree road [3]	atures intersect	ed OSWAYO	CREEK	
Design - Steel [3] main  Stringer/M	ulti-beam or girder [02]	Design - approach  O Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 0 km Year built 1910 Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure Fla	onstructed 2000 ared not eligible for t		
Total length 9.4 m =	: 30.8 ft Lenç	gth of maximum spa	8.8 m = 28.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	5.8 m = 19.0 ft	Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-c	urb 4.8 m = 15.7 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	Il Horizontal Clearance	4.8 m = 15.7 ft	Curb or sidewalk v	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$	`	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type  Type of wearing surfa		pen Grating [3]						
Deck protection  Type of membrane/w	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengt 15.9 km = 9.9 mi	h Method to determi  Method to determi	, ,	Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1]		, ,	59.9 metric ton 99.8 metric ton		
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]	Des	ign Load HL93	3 [A]		

Functional Details					
Average Daily Traffic 50 Average daily to	ruck traffi % Year 2017 Future average daily traffic 70 Year 2037				
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 3.4 m = 11.2 ft				
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]  Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]				
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A				
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft				
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]				
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	nimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]				
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]					
Repair and Replacement Plans					
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]				
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 6000 Roadway improvement cost 17000				
action of an adoquate strong an [60]	Length of structure improvement 16 m = 52.5 ft Total project cost 79000				
	Year of improvement cost estimate				
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number				

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Better than present minimum criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Very Good [8]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry						
Scour	Countermeasures have beer	Countermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being eroochannel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimum	n criteria [7]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 83.8					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpected fea	ature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition								
Traffic safety features - approach								
Traffic safety features - approach								
Inspection date March 2017								
·	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec						
·	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	eded [N] Other special inspection date						