## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information											41-10-37.75 =	076-23-12.63
Pennsylvania [42] Columbia County [037]			Benton	Benton [05688] 1.3 MI S OF BENTON BORO			BORO		41.177153	= -76.386842		
41159 Highway agency district: 3			Owner	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenand			Maintenance	eresponsibility	State Highway Age	ency [01]		
Route 254 SR 254				Toll On free road [3] Features intersected FISHING (			CREEK					
Design - Steel [3] Design - approach					Kilometerpoin		0.8 km = 1637		14			
Truss - Thru [10]				0	Other [00]		Year built 1 Skew angle	923 0	Structure F	constructed 200	)1	
						Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for			the NRHP. [5]			
Total length 36 m	= 118.1	ft	Leng	gth of maxim	um span 34.4 r	n = 112.9 ft	Deck width,	out-to-ou	t 8.8 m = 28.9	ft Bridge roa	ndway width, curb-to-c	urb 7.4 m = 24.3 ft
Inventory Route, T	ital Hori	zontal Cle	arance	7.4 m = 24	.3 ft (	Curb or sidewalk v	vidth - left 0	m = 0.0 ft		Curb or sic	lewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type			Co	ncrete Cast-	in-Place [1]							
Type of wearing su	face		Мо	onolithic Con	crete (concurre	ntly placed with st	ructural deck) [1	]				
Deck protection Epoxy Coa			oxy Coated	xy Coated Reinforcing [1]								
Type of membrane	wearing	surface										
Weight Limits												
Bypass, detour length  5.3 km = 3.3 mi  Method to determine inventor  Method to determine operation			ne inventory	rating Lo	oad Factor(LF) [1]		Inve	ntory rating	34.5 metric ton	= 38.0 tons		
			ne operating	rating Lo	ng Load Factor(LF) [1]		Оре	Operating rating $\overline{\qquad}$ 56.2 metric ton = 67		= 61.8 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above I				bove legal loads	s [5]		Des	ign Load				

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 961 Average daily to	ruck traffi 15 % Year 2018 Future average daily traffic 1303 Year 2032
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 8.5 m = 27.9 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift br	idge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0
	Length of structure improvement 36 m = 118.1 ft Total project cost 0
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]  Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determi	ined to be stable for the ass	essed or calculated scour condition. [8]					
Channel and channel protection	Bank and embankment prodebris are in the channel. [		ned. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of					
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Somewhat better than min in place as is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]  Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 61.3					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	f structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	Inpected f	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inpected f	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inpected f	eature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date May 2018 [0	Designated ins	spection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	spection date May 2018 [0518]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date					