## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-00-47.40 =	076-07-15.40
Pennsylvania [42]	Lancaster County	[071]	Paradise [57848]	0.4 MI NORTH OF S	SR 30		40.013167	= -76.120944
22002 Highway age		ency district 8	Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance res		responsibility	County Highway Agency [02]	
Route 0	PEC	QUEA LANE	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersect	ed PEQUEA C	REEK	
Design - Concrete [**  3 Other [00]	1]	Design - approach  Other	er [00]	Kilometerpoint 0 Year built 1923 Skew angle 0	) km = 0.0 mi Year reco	onstructed N/A ared	[0000]	
				Historical significand	ce Bridge is	not eligible for t	he NRHP. [5]	
Total length 36 m =	118.1 ft L	ength of maximum s	pan 11.6 m = 38.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-	-out 7.3 m = 24.0 f	Bridge road	dway width, curb-to-c	urb 6.1 m = 20.0 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearan	6.1  m = 20.0  ft	Curb or sidewalk w	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0$	0 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0  m = 0.0  ft
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Pl	lace [1]					
Type of wearing surfa	ce	Bituminous [6]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengtl	h Method to deter	rmine inventory ratin	g	li .	nventory rating	9.1 metric ton =	10.0 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi  Method to determine operating rating			ng	C	Operating rating	16.3 metric ton	= 17.9 tons	
	Bridge posting				Design Load			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 570 Average daily t	ruck traffi 0 % Year 2012 Future average daily tra	affic 755 Year 2032						
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 7.9 m = 25.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designatio No parallel structu	re exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation cont	rol						
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  10 m = 32.8 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadwa	ay improvement cost 0						
- Spinosmonia (66)	Length of structure improvement 34 m = 111.6 ft	Total project cost 0						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment  Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]  Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]							
Condition ratings - deck	Serious [3]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determined	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erode channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimum	Better than present minimum criteria [7]		Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection				Sufficiency rating	13.6			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	ns							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date June 2012 [0	Designated inspe	ction frequency 24	N	lonths				
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspection date						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date					
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	year [Y12] Other special inspe		June 2013 [0613	3]			