HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information	W 0 1 M001		D''' C 111/40401	DITTOFIEL D TOWARD	U.U.D.		41-50-03 =	079-23-03 = -
Pennsylvania [42]	Warren County [123]		Pittsfield [61040]	PITTSFIELD TOWNS	HIP		41.834167	79.384167
610027044018390 Highway agency district: 1		Owner State Highway	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Ag	ency [01]	
Route 27 SR 27,PTTSFLD.TRSS Toll On free road [3] Features intersected OVER LITTLE BROKENSTRAW							l	
Design - Steel [3]		Design -		Kilometerpoint 30	82.4 km = 1911	1 mi		
main		approach		Year built 1917	Year re	constructed 198	5	
1 Truss - Thru	u [10]	0 Other	[00]	Skew angle 0	Structure F	lared		
				Historical significance	Historic	al significance is	not determinable at t	his time. [4]
Total length $36 \text{ m} = 100 \text{ m}$	118.1 ft Len	gth of maximum sp	an 34.1 m = 111.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-o	out 7.3 m = 24.0	ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-c	eurb 6.9 m = 22.6 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	6.9 m = 22.6 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	vidth - left 0 m = 0.0	ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	Co	oncrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete		concurrently placed with str	ructural deck) [1]					
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinfo		orcing [1]						
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]	In	entory rating	29 metric ton =	31.9 tons		
0.8 km = 0.5 mi Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ol	perating rating	46.3 metric ton	= 50.9 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					esign Load M	3.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details		
Average Daily Traffic 4619 Average daily tr	uck traffi 11 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic	5209 Year 2024
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 7.9 m = 25.9 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]	
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control	
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A	
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clear	arance over bridge roadway 4 m = 13.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]	
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral undercle	learance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference fea	eature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]		
Repair and Replacement Plans		
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]	
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway im	mprovement cost 0
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 36 m = 118.1 ft	Total project cost 1000
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2004	
	Border bridge - state Bo	order bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number	

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to pres					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically into	olerable requiring hi	gh priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations	s determined to be stable for assess	ed or calculated	scour condition. [5]				
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to present r	minimum criteria [6]	S	tatus evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection			S	ufficiency rating	45.8			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	f structure is not a culver	t. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings	Ir	npected feature meets currently acce	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	S							
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail Ir	npected feature meets currently acce						
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends	npected feature meets currently acce						
Inspection date July 2009 [0709] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspe	ection date	date July 2009 [0709]				
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	spection date July 2009 [0709]					
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special insp	pection date	July 2002 [0702]				