## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					40-32-01 =	077-23-04 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Juniata County [067]			Port Royal [62304] PORT ROYAL BORO		40.533611	77.384444
340075068000000 Highway agency district: 2			Owner State Highway A	Agency [01] Maintenan	ce responsibility State Highway Aç	gency [01]
Route 75	SR 7	5-PA 75	Toll On fre	sected FIRST ST & JUNIATA RIVER		
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - Stee approach	l [3]	Kilometerpoint 4318.5 km = 26		
4 Truss - Thru [10]			er and floorbeam system [03]		reconstructed 1975 e Flared	
				Historical significance Bridg	e is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]	
Total length 331.3 m =	1087.0 ft Lei	ngth of maximum s	oan 68 m = 223.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 7.6 m = 24	Bridge roadway width, curb-to-	curb 7 m = 23.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7 m = 23.0 ft			Curb or sidewalk wi	Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft		0.3 m = 1.0 ft
Deck structure type	C	Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ace [1]			
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete		(concurrently placed with str				
Deck protection						
Type of membrane/wear	ing surface					
Weight Limits						
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inventory rating	25.4 metric ton = 27.9 tons		
1.3 km = 0.8 mi	1.3 km = 0.8 mi  Method to determine operating rating		g Load Factor(LF) [1]	Operating rating	42.6 metric ton = 46.9 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			legal loads [5]	Design Load	И 13.5 / H 15 [2]	

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 4825 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 6 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 9404 Year 2029								
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway [6]	Lanes under structure 2 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4 m = 13.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature H	ighway beneath structure [H]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 8.6 m = 28.2 ft  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   4 m = 13.1 ft   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Highway beneath structure [H]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0								
replacements. [50]	Length of structure improvement 331 m = 1086.0 ft Total project cost 2000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	re status Open, no restriction [A]		Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment  Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]		Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]								
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erod channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Superior to present desirable	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]			Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Si	ufficiency rating	32.7				
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	S								
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date March 2008 [0308] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months									
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspe		April 2008 [0408	3]				
·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in:	•	March 2009 [03					
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special insp	ection date	March 2009 [030	09]				