The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						41-41-25 =	080-02-53 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Crawford County [039] Woodco	ock [86168]	WOODCOCK TOW	NSHIP		41.690278	80.048056
201043001014640 Highway agency district 1 Owner State Highway		State Highway A	Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Ago	ency [01]
Route 0 SR 1043,PRICE RD. Toll On free road [3] Features intersected OVER WOODCOCK CREEK							
Design - Aluminum, Wrought Iron or Cast Iron [9] Truss - Thru [10]	Design - approach Other [00]		Year built 1896 Skew angle 0	Structure F			
T-1-11-0-11- 2/ 2 0/ 2 ft	11. of a configuration and 25. 2 and	. 00 0 0	Historical significant			not determinable at the	
Total length 26.2 m = 86.0 ft Leng Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance	of maximum span 25.3 n 4.4 m = 14.4 ft	n = 83.0 it Curb or sidewalk wi	Deck width, out-to			lway width, curb-to-c walk width - right	0.2 m = 0.7 ft
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]							
Type of wearing surface							
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/wearing surface							
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length Method to determine	ne inventory rating All	lowable Stress(AS)	[2]	nventory rating	13.6 metric ton =	= 15.0 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine	ne operating rating All	lowable Stress(AS)	[2]	Operating rating	23.6 metric ton =	= 26.0 tons	
Bridge posting 30.0 - 39.9 % below [1]]	Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 71 Average daily tr	uck traffi 9 % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 267 Year 2022							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.9 m = 16.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1] Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4 m = 13.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Danain and Danisassant Diana								
Repair and Replacement Plans	West days by West to be days by contract [4]							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 26 m = 85.3 ft Total project cost 1000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2005							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for Io	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Critical [2]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determine							
Channel and channel protection Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	criteria [9]	St	tatus evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection			Sı	ufficiency rating	21.1			
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transitions								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends								
Inspection date October 2009 [1009] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date								
Fracture critical inspection Every two years [Y24]		Fracture critical ins	Fracture critical inspection date		1009]			
Other special inspection Unknown [Y06] Other special inspection date August 2002 [0802]								