## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							39-54-19.44 =	080-07-05.88
Pennsylvania [42]	Greene County [059]		Franklin [27408]	FRANKLIN MORGAN	N TWP LINE		39.905400	= -80.118300
18502	Highway agenc	y district: 12	Owner County Highwa	ay Agency [02]	Maintenance res	sponsibility	County Highway Aç	gency [02]
Route #Num!	TOWN	ISHIP ROAD 567	Toll On fi	ree road [3]	Features intersected	TENMILE CR	EEK	
Design - Steel [3] main  Truss - The	ru [10]	Design - approach  O Othe	r [00]	Kilometerpoint 0  Year built 1910  Skew angle 0	km = 0.0 mi  Year recons  Structure Flare	structed N/A [0	000]	
				Historical significance	Bridge is el	igible for the NR	RHP. [2]	
Total length 37.5 m	= 123.0 ft Len	gth of maximum sp	oan 36.3 m = 119.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-o	out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft	Bridge roadw	ay width, curb-to-cu	4.8  m = 15.7  m
Inventory Route, Tota	al Horizontal Clearance	4.8 m = 15.7 ft	Curb or sidewalk	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0$	ft	Curb or sidew	alk width - right	0  m = 0.0  ft
Deck structure type		ood or Timber [8]						
Type of wearing surfa	ace							
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/w	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengt	th Method to determ	ine inventory rating		In	ventory rating 0 i	metric ton = 0.0	tons	
0.8 km = 0.5 mi	Method to determ	ine operating rating	]	O	perating rating 0 i	metric ton = 0.0	tons	
	Bridge posting			De	esign Load			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 1 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 1993 Future average daily traffic	150 Year 2009							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 3 m = 9.8 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.88 m = 16.0 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 63000 Roadway impro	ovement cost 184000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 47 m = 154.2 ft Tot	al project cost 845000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Bord	er bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency					
Structure status Bridge close	d to all traffic [K]	Appraisal ratings - structural			
Condition ratings - superstructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as	is [4]	
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -			
Condition ratings - deck		deck geometry			
Scour	Bridge foundations required. [4]	determined to be stable for assesse	or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates	action is	
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is b channel. [5]	eing eroded. River control devices	nd/or embankment have major damage. Trees and r	ush restrict the	
Appraisal ratings - water adequae	Better than present	minimum criteria [7]	Status evaluation Structurally deficier	ıt [1]	
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 24.5		
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert.	[N]			
Traffic safety features - railings					
Traffic safety features - transition	ns				
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail				
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends				
Inspection date August 2018	[0818] Design	ated inspection frequency 24	Months		
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	on date		
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	[N] Fracture critical inspection date			
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	tion date		