HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2003 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-25-33 =	080-22-26 = -
Pennsylvania [42]	Mercer County [085]		Hempfield [33784]	HEMPFIELD TOWNSH	HP		41.425833	80.373889
434006006019940Highway agency district1		Owner State Highway A	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintena		esponsibility	State Highway Agency [01]		
Route 0	SR 40	006,WILLIAMSON	Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	eatures intersect	ed OVER LT SH	ENANGO RIVER	
Design - Aluminum Iron [9]	, Wrought Iron or Cast ru [10]	Design - approach 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint0 krYear built1898Skew angle0Historical significance	Structure Fla	onstructed N/A [0 ared		
Total length 38.4 m = 126.0 ft Length of maximum span 37.8 m = 124.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.3 m = 14.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 3.9 m = 12.8 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 3.9 m = 12.8 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.1 m = 0.3 ft 0.1 m = 0.3 ft 0.1 m = 0.3 ft					0.1 m = 0.3 ft			
Deck structure type Open Grating [3]								
Type of wearing surface								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits					_			
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		entory rating	10.8 metric ton = 11.9 tons			
0.5 km = 0.3 mi Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		erating rating	14.4 metric ton = 15.8 tons			
Bridge posting				Des	sign Load			

Functional Details					
Average Daily Traffic 3641 Average daily tr	uck traffi 17 % Year 2003	Future average daily traffic	5097 Year 202	2	
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 1		Approach roadway wid	th 4.9 m = 16.1 ft	
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2	way traffic [2]	Bridge median		
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]					
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control			
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation h	orizontal clearance 0 = N/A			
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 3.04 m = 10.0 ft				3.04 m = 10.0 ft	
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]				
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	nited	Minimum lateral underclear	rance on left 0 = N/A		
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vert	cal underclearance reference featu	re Feature not a highway	ı or railroad [N]	
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]					
Panair and Panlacomont Diane					
Repair and Replacement Plans	Mark dans by Mark to be dans I				
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done l				
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 522	000 Roadway impr	ovement cost 22400	0	
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement	61 m = 200.1 ft Tot	tal project cost 10280	00	
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2002			
	Border bridge - state	Bord	er bridge - percent respon	sibility of other state	
	Border bridge - structure number				

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Posted for lo	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - superstructur Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry				
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	foundations determined	to be unstable. [3]			
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of r Banks and/or channel have m		rol devices and en	nbankment prote	ection have a little minor	damage.
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	criteria [9]	Stat	tus evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]
Pier or abutment protection			Suff	ficiency rating	21.5	
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings						
Traffic safety features - transition	IS					
Traffic safety features - approach	nguardrail					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends					
Inspection date May 2002 [0502] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months						
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ction date			
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	spection date	July 2001 [0701]		
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date			