HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information				41-11-21.94 = 076-05-16.30
Pennsylvania [42] Lu	uzerne County [079]	Hunlock [36296]	AT SR 11 INTERSECTION	41.189428 = -76.087861
24408	Highway agency district:	4 Owner Other State Age	encies [21] Maintenance responsibility	Other State Agencies [21]
Route 0	RETREAT ACC	ESS RD Toll On fre	ee road [3] Features intersected SUSQUI	EHANNA RIVER
Design - main Steel [3] Truss - Thru [1]	Design approact		Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi Year built 1930 Year reconstructed 1	991
5 Huss - Hill [1	2	Sumgenwall-beam of glider [02]	Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for	or the NRHP. [5]
Total length 367.3 m =	1205.1 ft Length of ma	kimum span 66.1 m = 216.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 6.6 m = 21.7 ft Bridge r	roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.6 m = 18.4 ft
Inventory Route, Total Ho	orizontal Clearance 5.6 m =	18.4 ft Curb or sidewalk w	ridth - left 0.2 m = 0.7 ft Curb or s	sidewalk width - right 2.7 m = 8.9 ft
Deck structure type Type of wearing surface	Open Gratii	ng [3]		
Deck protection				
Type of membrane/weari	ng surrace			
Weight Limits				
Bypass, detour length	Method to determine invent	ory rating Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inventory rating 23.6 metric to	on = 26.0 tons
19.9 km = 12.3 mi	Method to determine operation	ing rating Load Factor(LF) [1]	Operating rating 39.9 metric to	on = 43.9 tons
	Bridge posting Equal to o	or above legal loads [5]	Design Load	

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 250 Average daily to	ruck traffi 5 % Year 2009 Future aver	age daily traffic 300	Year 2029					
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Appro	oach roadway width	6.1 m = 20.0 ft				
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Nav	gation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4 m = 13.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimur	n lateral underclearance on	left 0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 0	Roadway improvement	cost 0					
i opiassimoniai [ee]	Length of structure improvement 367 m = 1	204.1 ft Total project	t cost 1000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Suf	fficiency										
Structure status	Open, no res	o restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					ace as	
Condition ratings -	superstructure Fair [5]			Appraisal ratings - Equal roadway alignment		qual to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings -	ratings - substructure Fair [5]			Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings -	deck	Fair [5]	r [5]		deck geometry						
Scour		Bridge	e is scou	ır critical; bridg	e foundations determine	d to be unstab	ole. [3]				_
Channel and channel protection				oankment prot he channel. [4	ection is severely undern]	nined. River c	control	devices have se	vere damage. Large o	deposits of	
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Bette	Better than present minimum criteria [7]				Stat	atus evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection							Suffi	Sufficiency rating 41			
Culverts Not app	licable. Used	if structure is	not a cu	ılvert. [N]			-				
Traffic safety featu	ıres - railings										
Traffic safety featu	ıres - transitior	ns									
Traffic safety featu	ıres - approacl	h guardrail									
Traffic safety featu	ıres - approacl	h guardrail er	ds								
Inspection date	March 2013	[0313]	D	esignated insp	pection frequency 2	4	Months	S			
Underwater inspection Every		Every two ye	two years [Y24]		Underwater insp	Underwater inspection date		September 2013 [0913]			
Fracture critical inspection Every		Every two ye	two years [Y24]		Fracture critical	Fracture critical inspection date		March 2013 [0313]			
Other special inspection Every		Every year [\	/12]		Other special ins	Other special inspection date		August 1994 [0894]			