HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							39-57-41 =	076-21-58 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Lancaster Coun		1]	Conestoga [15592]	AT SR 3030 INTERSECTION			39.961389	76.366111
21878 Highway agency district 8			Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway A	ngency [02]
Route 0 ONE LANE BRIDGE RD			Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected BIG CONES			STOGA RIVER	
Design - Steel [3] main 2 Truss - Thru	[10]	Design - approach 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1923 Skew angle 0 Historical significan	Structure F		[0000] the NRHP. [5]	
Total length $78 \text{ m} = 25$	55.9 ft Leng	gth of maximum sp	an 39 m = 128.0 ft		o-out 5.2 m = 17.1	ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-c	curb 4.7 m = 15.4 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.7 m = 15.4 ft		Curb or sidewalk w	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft		Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type	,	oen Grating [3]						
Type of wearing surface								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wea	ring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Wethou to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating	29 metric ton =	31.9 tons	
0.1 km = 0.1 mi	Method to determine	ethod to determine operating rating			Operating rating	48.1 metric ton = 52.9 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Design Load				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 400 Average daily tru	uck traffi 2 % Year 2011 Future average daily traffic 527 Year 2032							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.9 m = 16.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 6 m = 19.7 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	ature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0							
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost 0							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	d to be stable for the ass	essed or calcula	ated scour condition	n. [8]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of r Banks and/or channel have m	minor repairs. River cont ninor amounts of drift. [7]	rol devices and	embankment prote	ection have a little minor damage.		
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cri	iteria [8]	Si	tatus evaluation	ation Functionally obsolete [2]		
Pier or abutment protection			Si	sufficiency rating	63.9		
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transition	IS						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends						
Inspection date September 2	010 [0910] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Mon	nths			
'	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec					
·	Every year [Y12]		Fracture critical inspection date		0 [1200]		
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special insp	0 [0910]				