## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Informati	tion					40-18-57.23 =	075-58-06.95
Pennsylvania [4	Berks County [0	)11]	Wyomissing [86880]	RUTH'S BRIDGE		40.315897	= -75.968597
5511	Highway	agency district: 5	Owner County Highwa	y Agency [02]	Maintenance respon	County Highway	Agency [02]
Route 0		OLD WYOMISSING RD.	Toll On fr	ee road [3] Fe	atures intersected W	/YOMISSING CREEK	
main	crete [1] - Deck [11]	Design - approach  O Other	r [00]	Kilometerpoint 0 km Year built 1910 Skew angle 12	n = 0.0 mi  Year reconstru  Structure Flared	cted 1963	
				Historical significance	Bridge is not e	ligible for the NRHP. [5]	
Total length 19	9.8 m = 65.0 ft	Length of maximum sp	pan 19.8 m = 65.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	6.8 m = 22.3 ft	Bridge roadway width, curb-to-	curb 6.1 m = 20.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.1 m = 20.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left			width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$	C	urb or sidewalk width - right	0  m = 0.0  ft	
Deck structure ty	type	Not applicable [N]					
Type of wearing	g surface	Bituminous [6]					
Deck protection	1						
Type of membra	ane/wearing surface						
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour	o Wicthou to u	etermine inventory rating		Inve	ntory rating 36.3 r	metric ton = 39.9 tons	
0.2  km = 0.1  mi	Method to d	etermine operating rating	J	Ope	rating rating 61.7 r	metric ton = 67.9 tons	
	Bridge posti	ng Equal to or above I	egal loads [5]	Desi	ign Load		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 215 Average daily to	ruck traffi 0 % Year 2017 Future average daily traffic	286 Year 2033							
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 4.6 m = 15.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Description of Description									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 12000 Roadway imp	provement cost 34000							
actions along of management of one figure [55]	Length of structure improvement 27 m = 88.6 ft To	otal project cost 156000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Bor	der bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for Io	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructure Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erode channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum cri	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]		Status evaluation				
Pier or abutment protection			Su	officiency rating 70.5	5			
Culverts Not applicable. Used in Traffic safety features - railings	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - transition								
Traffic safety features - approach								
Traffic safety features - approach								
Inspection date								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date	е				
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special inspe	ection date June 2018 [0618]					