HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2019 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-59-17.51 =	076-30-27.71
Pennsylvania [42] Bradford County [015]		thens [03400] 0.7 MI. NE OF SA				41.988197	= -76.507697	
6571 Highway agency district: 3		Owner Town or Townsh	wner Town or Township Highway Agency [03] Maintenance responsibility			Town or Township Highway Agency [03]		
Route 0 T-124 & T-105			Toll On free	e road [3] Fe	atures intersecte	ed CAYUTA CRE	ΈK	
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Truss - Thr	u [10]	Design - approach 0 Other [0	00]	Kilometerpoint 0 km Year built 1920 Skew angle 0 Historical significance	n = 0.0 mi Year reco Structure Fla Bridge is d		HP. [2]	
Total length 50.3 m	Total length 50.3 m = 165.0 ft Length of maximum span 48.8 m = 160.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.6 m = 15.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 3.4 m = 11.2 ft							
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 3.4 m = 11.2 ft		Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m =			Curb or sidewa	alk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type Open Grating [3]								
Type of wearing surface								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating 36.3 metric ton = 39.9 tons				
0.2 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ope	rating rating	ng 60.8 metric ton = 66.9 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal			al loads [5]	Desi	ign Load			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 1200 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 2 % Year 2017 Future average daily traffic 1856 Year 2039							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure1Approach roadway width7 m = 23.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft 5.87 m = 19.3 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]							
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 6000 Roadway improvement cost 50000							
	Length of structure improvement50 m = 164.1 ftTotal project cost76000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	leets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]								
Scour	Bridge foundations determined required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank and embankment protec debris are in the channel. [4]	Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	criteria [9]	Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 44.7						
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	Inpected feature	ure meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]									
Inspection date June 2017 [0617] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date									
Fracture critical inspection Every two years [Y24]		Fracture critical ins	Inclure critical inspection date June 2017 [0617]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						