

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.  
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

**Basic Information**

Pennsylvania [42] Butler County [019] Jackson [37344] CO #97 - SENN 40-48-05 = 40.801389 080-06-18 = - 80.105000  
 107217032300970 Highway agency district 10 Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility County Highway Agency [02]  
 Route 0 T-323 EVERGRN MILL Toll On free road [3] Features intersected LITTLE CONNOQUEN CR  
 Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Other [00] Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi  
 1 Truss - Thru [10] 0 Other [00] Year built 1929 Year reconstructed N/A [0000]  
 Skew angle 0 Structure Flared  
 Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]  
 Total length 27.7 m = 90.9 ft Length of maximum span 26.2 m = 86.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.3 m = 20.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6 m = 19.7 ft  
 Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6 m = 19.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.2 m = 0.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0.2 m = 0.7 ft  
 Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]  
 Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]  
 Deck protection  
 Type of membrane/wearing surface

**Weight Limits**

Bypass, detour length 0.5 km = 0.3 mi Method to determine inventory rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Inventory rating 19.1 metric ton = 21.0 tons  
 Method to determine operating rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Operating rating 39.9 metric ton = 43.9 tons  
 Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5] Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]

### Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	750	Average daily truck traffi	9	%	Year	2007	Future average daily traffic	775	Year	2027
Road classification	Local (Rural) [09]		Lanes on structure	2		Approach roadway width	5.8 m = 19.0 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway [1]		Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]		Lanes under structure	0		Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge	0 m = 0.0 ft				Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	10 m = 32.8 ft				
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	0 = N/A				Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

### Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]								
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost	0	Roadway improvement cost	0						
	Length of structure improvement	34 m = 111.6 ft		Total project cost	0					
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2002								
	Border bridge - state				Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number									

## Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status

Posted for load [P]

Appraisal ratings -  
structural

Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]

Condition ratings - superstructure

Fair [5]

Appraisal ratings -  
roadway alignment

Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]

Condition ratings - substructure

Fair [5]

Appraisal ratings -  
deck geometry

Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]

Condition ratings - deck

Fair [5]

Scour

Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]

Channel and channel protection

Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]

Appraisal ratings - water adequacy

Equal to present desirable criteria [8]

Status evaluation

Functionally obsolete [2]

Pier or abutment protection

Sufficiency rating

44.8

Culverts

Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]

Traffic safety features - railings

Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]

Traffic safety features - transitions

Traffic safety features - approach guardrail

Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends

Inspection date

August 2007 [0807]

Designated inspection frequency

24

Months

Underwater inspection

Not needed [N]

Underwater inspection date

Fracture critical inspection

Not needed [N]

Fracture critical inspection date

Other special inspection

Not needed [N]

Other special inspection date