The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information 40-25-13 = 079-01-34 = -											
Pennsylvania [42] Indiana County [063]		East Wh	East Wheatfield [22048] SEWARD				40.420278	79.026111			
320056059006000		Highway agency district 10		Owner	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Mainter			Maintenance	e responsibility	State Highway Agency [01]	
Route 56 SR0056				Toll On fre	ee road [3]	ı	eatures interse	cted CONEMAU	GH RIVER		
		Design - approach	Other [00] Y				Year reconstructed 1980 Structure Flared				
Total length 114.9 m = 377.0 ft Length of maximum span 55.8 m = 183.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 9.8 m = 32.2 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.8 m = 25.6 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.2 m = 0.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0.2 m = 0.7 ft											
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]											
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (c				crete (concurrer	te (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]						
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Rei			Reinforcing [1]	einforcing [1]							
Type of membrane/wearing surface											
Weight Li	mits										
7.	detour length	Method to dete	rmine inventory i	rating Lo	Load Factor(LF) [1]		In	ventory rating	26.3 metric ton	= 28.9 tons	
0.5 km =	0.3 mi	Method to determine operating rating		rating Lo	Load Factor(LF) [1]		O	Operating rating 43.5 metric ton = 47.9 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal				ove legal loads	loads [5]		De	Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 5863 Average daily tr	uck traffi 2 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic 7258 Year 2022							
Road classification	[02] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.4 m = 30.8 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4 m = 13.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]							
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0							
deterioration of induceduate strength. [55]	Length of structure improvement 124.1 m = 407.2 ft Total project cost 1000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2002							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundation	s determined to be stable for assesso	ed or calculated scour condition. [5]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Meets minimum t	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]							
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 41.2						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings	I	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail I	npected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	npected feature meets currently acce	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date April 2009 [0	409] Desi	nated inspection frequency 24 Months							
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ction date						
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical in	spection date April 2009 [0409]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						