The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	rmation								40-24-57 =	079-01-18 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Westmoreland Cour		County [129]	St. Clair [6	St. Clair [67240]		SEWARD BRIDGE			79.021667	
640056033018440 Highway ag		agency district 12	Owner	ner State Highway Agency [01]		Mainter	nance responsibility	State Highway Ag	gency [01]	
Route 56			SR 0056		Toll On fre	e road [3]	Features in	ersected NORFOLK	S R/R, BORO ROA	D
main	Steel [3] Truss - Thru	u [10]	approach	teel [3] tringer/Multi-bea	nm or girder [02]	Skew angle	1930 Ye 99 Struct	ar reconstructed N/A ure Flared	[0000]	
Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5] Total length 127.1 m = 417.0 ft Length of maximum span 33.2 m = 108.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 9.8 m = 32.2 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right										
Deck structure type Type of wearing surface Concrete Cast-in-Place Monolithic Concrete (y placed with stru	uctural deck)	[1]				
Deck prote	ction									
Type of me	embrane/we	earing surface								
Weight Lin	mits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory ratin			ting Load	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rati	ng 26.3 metric ton	= 28.9 tons		
2.4 km = 1.5 mi Method to determine operating rating			iting Load	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rat	ing 43.5 metric ton	43.5 metric ton = 47.9 tons		
Bridge posting 00.1 - 09.9 % below [4			below [4]	1]		Design Load	M 13.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 5863 Average daily tr	uck traffi 2 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic 7500 Year 2027								
Road classification	[02] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Highway-railroad [4]	Lanes under structure 2 Navigation control Not applicable, no waterway. [N]								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 10 m = 32.8 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature H	ghway beneath structure [H]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.1 m = 325.1 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4 m = 13.1 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]									
D : 10 1 10									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 137 m = 449.5 ft Total project cost 1000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Posted for of	ther load-capacity restriction [R]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to pre	eria [8]		
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically in	nigh priority of replacement [2]		
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]					
Scour	Bridge not over waterway. [N]					
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable. [N]					
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	N/A [N]		· ·	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]	
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	30.1		
Culverts Not applicable. Used Traffic safety features - railings	if structure is not a culvert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - transition						
Traffic safety features - approach						
Traffic safety features - approach		ure meets currently acce	eptable standar	ds. [1]		
Inspection date April 2009 [0		Ţ		nths		
Underwater inspection	Underwater inspec					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in:	spection date			
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special insp	5]			