HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-51-32.97 =	076-46-32.53
Pennsylvania [42] Northumberland County [097]		Sunbury [75304] CITY OF SUNBURY			40-51-32.97 =	= -76.775703		
29007	Highway age	ency district: 3	Owner State Highway	Agency [01]	Maintenance re	esponsibility	State Highway Age	ncy [01]
Route 61	SR	61	Toll On fr	ree road [3]	eatures intersecte	shamokin	I CREEK	
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - approach		Kilometerpoint 391 Year built 1933	12 km = 2425.4 m Year reco	nstructed 201	3	
1 Truss - Thi	ru [10]	0 Othe	er [00]	Skew angle 0	Structure Fla	red		
				Historical significance	Bridge is r	not eligible for t	he NRHP. [5]	
Total length 48.2 m	= 158.1 ft L	ength of maximum s	pan 46.9 m = 153.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	ut 10.6 m = 34.8 t	t Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-cu	urb 10.1 m = 33.1 f
Inventory Route, Total	ıl Horizontal Clearan	ce 9.8 m = 32.2 ft	Curb or sidewalk v	width - left $1.7 \text{ m} = 5.6$	6 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	1.7 m = 5.6 ft
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Pl	ace [1]					
Type of wearing surfa	ice	Epoxy Overlay [5]						
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinfor			orcing [1]					
Type of membrane/w	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		g Allowable Stress(A	S) [2] Inv	entory rating 1	tory rating 18.1 metric ton = 19.9 tons			
15.9 km = 9.9 mi	Method to deter	rmine operating ratin	g Allowable Stress(A:	S) [2] Ope	erating rating 5	64.4 metric ton	= 59.8 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above	legal loads [5]	Des	sign Load			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 10645 Average daily truck to	traffi 4 % Year 2018 Future average dail	y traffic 15274 Year 2032							
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban) [14]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exi	ists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation of	control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge	0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vert	ical clearance over bridge roadway 4.32 m = 14.2 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature	re not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral	underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance refe	rence feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
	/ork done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]								
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	ridge improvement cost 13000 Roa	adway improvement cost 50000							
	ength of structure improvement 48 m = 157.5 ft	Total project cost 171000							
Ye	ear of improvement cost estimate								
Bo	order bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
Во	order bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Su	fficiency							
Structure status	Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - superstructure Good [7]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure Satis		Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings -	deck	Good [7]	deck geometry					
Scour		Bridge foundat required. [4]	ions determined to be stable for assess	sed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is				
Channel and channel protection			ing to slump. River control devices and bed movement evident. Debris is restric	d embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is cting the channel slightly. [6]				
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Equal to prese	nt desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation				
Pier or abutment protection				Sufficiency rating 51.4				
Culverts Not app	olicable. Used	if structure is not a cul	vert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings			Inpected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - transitions Inpected features			Inpected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Inpected features			Inpected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inper			Inpected feature meets currently acce	pected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Inspection date June 2017 [0617] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection Not ne		Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ection date				
,		Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	nspection date June 2017 [0617]				
Other special inspection Not no		Not needed [N]	Other special insp	spection date				