

HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2019 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

Pennsylvania [42]		Lehigh County [077]		Slatington [71144]		SLATINGTON		40-45-06.11 = 40.751697		075-36-21.95 = -75.606097	
23533		Highway agency district: 5		Owner County Highway Agency [02]		Maintenance responsibility		County Highway Agency [02]			
Route 0		S.WALNUT STREET		Toll On free road [3]		Features intersected		TROUT CREEK & FACTORY ST			
Design - main		Concrete continuous [2]		Design - approach		Concrete continuous [2]		Kilometerpoint		0 km = 0.0 mi	
2		Girder and floorbeam system [03]		3		Stringer/Multi-beam or girder [02]		Year built		1925	
								Year reconstructed		N/A [0000]	
								Skew angle		0	
								Structure Flared			
								Historical significance		Bridge is on the NRHP. [1]	
Total length		92 m = 301.9 ft		Length of maximum span		26.8 m = 87.9 ft		Deck width, out-to-out		10.4 m = 34.1 ft	
								Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb		6.1 m = 20.0 ft	
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance		6.2 m = 20.3 ft		Curb or sidewalk width - left		1.8 m = 5.9 ft		Curb or sidewalk width - right		1.8 m = 5.9 ft	
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]									
Type of wearing surface		Bituminous [6]									
Deck protection											
Type of membrane/wearing surface											

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length		Method to determine inventory rating		Inventory rating		9.1 metric ton = 10.0 tons	
0.2 km = 0.1 mi		Method to determine operating rating		Operating rating		13.6 metric ton = 15.0 tons	
Bridge posting				Design Load		M 13.5 / H 15 [2]	

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	3000	Average daily truck traffi	1	%	Year	1980	Future average daily traffic	5500	Year	2030
Road classification	Local (Urban) [19]		Lanes on structure	2		Approach roadway width	6.4 m = 21.0 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway-pedestrian [5]		Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Highway-waterway [6]		Lanes under structure	2		Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge	0 m = 0.0 ft					Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Highway beneath structure [H]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	99.9 = Unlimited					Minimum lateral underclearance on left	99.9 = Unlimited			
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	9.14 m = 30.0 ft		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Highway beneath structure [H]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]									

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]		
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost	43000	Roadway improvement cost	126000
	Length of structure improvement	92 m = 301.9 ft	Total project cost	579000
	Year of improvement cost estimate			
	Border bridge - state		Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state	
	Border bridge - structure number			

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Posted for load [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrective action [3]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]		
Scour	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]
Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating	27.7
Culverts	Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - railings			
Traffic safety features - transitions			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends			
Inspection date	June 2018 [0618]	Designated inspection frequency	24 Months
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date	
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical inspection date	June 2018 [0618]
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special inspection date	June 2018 [0618]