## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-45-13 =	080-20-57 = -	
Pennsylvania [42] Crawford County [039]			Summerhill [75160] .5 MI.W.OF CON		ONNEAUTVILLE		41.753611	80.349167	
13481 Highway agency district 1			Owner County Highway	wner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway A	gency [02]	
Route 7225 T-406,SMITH ROAD			Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected OVER CON			INEAUT CK. TR.		
Design - main  Steel [3]  Truss - Thru	J [10]	Design - approach  O Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 0   Year built 1910  Skew angle 0  Historical significance	Structure F		6 not determinable at t	nis time. [4]	
Total length 14 m = 45.9 ft Length of maximum span 14 m = 45.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.5 m = 14.8 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.1 m = 0.3 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0.1 m = 0.3 ft									
Deck structure type		/ood or Timber [8]							
Type of wearing surface  Deck protection  Type of membrane/we		/ood or Timber [7]							
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length  0.5 km = 0.3 mi  Method to determine inventory rating  Method to determine operating rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]  Load Factor(LF) [1]		ventory rating perating rating	8.2 metric ton = 9.0 tons  13.6 metric ton = 15.0 tons			
	Bridge posting			De	esign Load				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 250 Average daily tru	uck traffi % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 311 Year 2032							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 3.7 m = 12.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]  Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 17 m = 55.8 ft Total project cost 1000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Posted for lo	Appraisal ratings - structural									
Condition ratings - superstructur Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Somewhat b is [5]	n adequacy to tolerate being left in place as						
Condition ratings - substructure	Critical [2]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically into	igh priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]									
Scour	Scour calculation/evaluation h	Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]								
Channel and channel protection	Bank and embankment protected debris are in the channel. [4]	Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cr	iteria [8]	S	status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			S	Sufficiency rating	22.9					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transition	ns									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail										
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]										
Inspection date November 2010 [1110] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months										
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date										
Fracture critical inspection	Unknown [Y06]	Fracture critical in:	Fracture critical inspection date		3 [1108]					
Other special inspection	Unknown [Y06]	Other special insp	ection date	[1110]						