The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-10-56 =	075-32-45 = -	
Pennsylvania [42]	Chester County [029]		Spring City [72920] SPRING CITY 07K09				40.182222	75.545833	
151043003008220 Highway agency district 6			Owner State Highway	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Ag	ency [01]	
Route 0	MAIN S	ST/BRIDGE ST	Toll On fr	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected SCHUYLKIL			L RIVER		
Design - Concrete [1 main 3 Arch - Deck	-	Design - approach O Other	r [00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1922 Skew angle 0 Historical signific	Structure F	constructed N/A			
Total length 105.5 m	= 346.1 ft Leng	gth of maximum sp	an 36.6 m = 120.1 ft	Deck width, ou	t-to-out 13.4 m = 44.	0 ft Bridge road	dway width, curb-to-c	eurb 8.9 m = 29.2 ft	
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.1 m = 29.9 ft			Curb or sidewalk v	Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft Curb or side			ewalk width - right	1.5 m = 4.9 ft	
Deck structure type	Со	ncrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]						
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]									
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/we	earing surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating	20 metric ton = 2	ı = 22.0 tons		
1.4 km = 0.9 mi Method to determine operating rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]	Load Factor(LF) [1]		32.7 metric ton =	7 metric ton = 36.0 tons		
Bridge posting 30.0 - 39.9 % below [1]					Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 16983 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 23748	Year 2013						
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2 Appr	proach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5] Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 10 m = 32.8 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
D : 10 1 10								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvemen	nt cost 0						
replacements. [66]	Length of structure improvement 116 m = 380.6 ft Total project	ect cost 2000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge	ge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for le	oad [P]		opraisal ratings - ructural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3] Better than present minimum criteria [7]				
Condition ratings - superstructur	Serious [3]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment					
Condition ratings - substructure	condition ratings - substructure Fair [5]		ppraisal ratings -	Meets mini	imum tolerable limits	[4]		
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	de	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundatio	ns determined to b	oe stable for assesse	ed or calculate	ed scour condition. [5]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	Superior to pres	uperior to present desirable criteria [9]			Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]		
Pier or abutment protection					Sufficiency rating	9.8		
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culve	ert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpected feature n	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transitions Inpected fe			d feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Inpected fe			feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	Inpected feature n	spected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date		gnated inspection frequency 24 Months						
Underwater inspection Every two years [Y24]			Underwater inspection date May 2005 [0505]			5]		
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]		Fracture critical ins	spection date				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]		Other special inspe	ection date				