The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-53-20 =	080-31-09 = -	
Pennsylvania [42] Erie County [049]			Conneaut [15736] CONNEAUT TOW		TOWNSHIP		41.888889	80.519167	
257203030040360 Highway agency district 1			Owner Town or Township Highway Agency [03] Maintenance responsibility			responsibility	Town or Township	Highway Agency [03]	
Route 7203	T-300,S	STATE LINE	Toll	On free road [3]	Features intersec	ted OVER ASTAB	BULA CREEK		
Design - Steel [3] main Truss - Thru [10]		Design - approach Othe	er [00]	Kilometerpoi Year built Skew angle Historical sig	1910 Year rec 0 Structure FI			is time. [4]	
Total length 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Length of maximum span 8.2 m = 26.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.7 m = 15.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.7 m = 15.4 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.7 m = 15.4 ft			Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.		0 m = 0.0 ft	Curb or sidew	alk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]									
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]		uminous [6]							
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wearing surface									
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			g Load Factor(L	F) [1]	Inventory rating	10.9 metric ton = 1	12.0 tons		
0.5 km = 0.3 mi Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating	18.1 metric ton = 1	19.9 tons			
Bridge posting					Design Load M 1	3.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 119 Average daily tru	ck traffi 9 % Year 2006 Future average daily traffic 140 Year 2025									
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.3 m = 14.1 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 10 m = 32.8 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	ature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
D : 1D 1 1D1										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0									
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 9 m = 29.5 ft Total project cost 0									
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2003									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria	[7]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry							
Scour		bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of r Banks and/or channel have m	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequace	y Equal to present minimum cri	iteria [6]	Status evaluation Structure	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 28.8						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transitions									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date January 2010 [0110] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspec	January 2005 [0105]						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date						
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special insp	ection date						