The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information								41-10-36 =	080-09-06 = -
Pennsylvania [42]	awrence County [073	ce County [073]		037017 S	37017 SCOTT TOWNSHIP		41.176667	80.151667	
B77210048570170 Highway agency district 11		Owner County Highway Agency [02]		[2]	Maintenance responsibility		County Highway	Agency [02]	
Route 0 STOUGHTON RD Toll On free road [3] Features intersected SLIPPERY ROCK CREEK									
Design - main  Steel [3]  Truss - Thru [1]	10]	Design - approach  Other	[00]	Kilometer Year built Skew and Historical	1885	Structure F	constructed 1992 lared so not eligible for the		
Total length 40.2 m = 131.9 ft Length of maximum span 37.8 m = 124.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.3 m = 14.1 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.2 m = 13.8 ft Curb or sidewalk v				ewalk width - left	0.2  m = 0.7  f	ft	Curb or side	walk width - right	0.2 m = 0.7 ft
Deck structure type Open Grating [3]									
Type of wearing surface									
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wearing surface									
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Inver	ntory rating	14.4 metric ton =	= 15.8 tons	
0.8 km = 0.5 mi Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Oper	ating rating	20.7 metric ton =	= 22.8 tons		
Bridge posting					Desig	gn Load			

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 200 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2002 Future average daily traffic	c 260 Year 2022					
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 3.4 m = 11.2 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 3.63 m = 11.9 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
D : 1D 1 1D1							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 313000 Roadway i	improvement cost 135000					
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 54.3 m = 178.2 ft	Total project cost 618000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructur		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring h	high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - substructure Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry						
Scour ca		lation/evaluation has not been made. [6]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present of	desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	36.9				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	f structure is not a culver	i. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	s Ir	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail Ir	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends								
Inspection date								
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date								
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]		Fracture critical inspection date  June 2002 [0602]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					