

HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2019 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

Pennsylvania [42]		Lancaster County [071]		West Cocalico [82728]		WEST COCALICO TWP		40-14-42.94 = 40.245261		076-10-23.74 = -76.173261	
21783		Highway agency district: 8		Owner State Toll Authority [31]		Maintenance responsibility		State Toll Authority [31]			
Route 0		T-824		Toll On free road [3]		Features intersected		PA TPK (I-76)			
Design - main		Concrete [1]		Design - approach		Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi		Year built 1949		Year reconstructed N/A [0000]	
1		Frame [07]		0		Other [00]		Skew angle 19		Structure Flared	
								Historical significance		Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]	
Total length		28.3 m = 92.9 ft		Length of maximum span		25 m = 82.0 ft		Deck width, out-to-out		7.7 m = 25.3 ft	
								Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb		6.7 m = 22.0 ft	
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance		6.7 m = 22.0 ft		Curb or sidewalk width - left		0.3 m = 1.0 ft		Curb or sidewalk width - right		0.3 m = 1.0 ft	
Deck structure type		Not applicable [N]									
Type of wearing surface		Bituminous [6]									
Deck protection											
Type of membrane/wearing surface											

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length		Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating		58.1 metric ton = 63.9 tons	
0.5 km = 0.3 mi		Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating		97.1 metric ton = 106.8 tons	
Bridge posting		Equal to or above legal loads [5]		Design Load		MS 18 / HS 20 [5]			

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	200	Average daily truck traffi	9	%	Year	1992	Future average daily traffic	315	Year	2030
Road classification	Local (Rural) [09]		Lanes on structure	2		Approach roadway width	6.7 m = 22.0 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway [1]		Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Highway, with or without ped		Lanes under structure	4		Navigation control	Not applicable, no waterway. [N]			
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge	0 m = 0.0 ft					Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Highway beneath structure [H]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	3 m = 9.8 ft					Minimum lateral underclearance on left	1.2 m = 3.9 ft			
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	4.8 m = 15.7 ft		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Highway beneath structure [H]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]									

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by owner's forces [2]		
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost	7000	Roadway improvement cost	19000
	Length of structure improvement	36 m = 118.1 ft	Total project cost	89000
	Year of improvement cost estimate			
	Border bridge - state		Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state	
	Border bridge - structure number			

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Open, no restriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]		
Scour	Bridge not over waterway. [N]		
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable. [N]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	N/A [N]	Status evaluation	
Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating	85.9
Culverts	Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - railings			
Traffic safety features - transitions			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Inspection date	April 2017 [0417]	Designated inspection frequency	24 Months
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date	
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date	
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date	