## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						40-09-20.76 =	075-52-19.66
Pennsylvania [42]	Berks County [011]		Caernarvon [10696]	EAST OF MORGANTO	NWC	40.155767	= -75.872128
5293	Highway agend	cy district: 5	Owner State Toll Auth	ority [31]	Maintenance responsibility	State Toll Authority	[31]
Route 23	LR 41	2,SR 0023	Toll On fi	ree road [3]	eatures intersected PA TPK (I-7	76)	
Design - Steel [3] main  Girder and	floorbeam system [03]	Design - approach  0 Other	· [00]	Kilometerpoint 152 Year built 1950 Skew angle 57	2.1 km = 94.3 mi  Year reconstructed N/A  Structure Flared	[0000]	
Total length 47.9 m	= 157.2 ft Len	gth of maximum sp	an 46.6 m = 152.9 ft	Historical significance  Deck width, out-to-ou	Bridge is not eligible for t at 13.4 m = 44.0 ft Bridge road	he NRHP. [5] dway width, curb-to-cu	urb 11.6 m = 38.1 f
,	Il Horizontal Clearance	11.6 m = 38.1 ft oncrete Cast-in-Pla	Curb or sidewalk v	width - left $0.3 \text{ m} = 1.0$	Oft Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0.3  m = 1.0  ft
Deck structure type  Type of wearing surfa  Deck protection		atex Concrete or sir					
Type of membrane/w	earing surface						
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length  1.6 km = 1.0 mi  Method to determine inventory rating  Method to determine operating rating			` '		entory rating 37.2 metric ton 61.7 metric ton		
		Equal to or above I	, , , -	'	sign Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]	07.7 (0113	

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 12047 Average daily to	ruck traffi 7 % Year 2018 Future	e average daily traffic 14048	Year 2030			
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach	roadway width 10.1 m = 33.1 ft			
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	C [2]	Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]					
Type of service under bridge Highway, with or without	but ped Lanes under structure 4	Navigation control Not applicable	e, no waterway. [N]			
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal of	clearance 0 = N/A				
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	ighway beneath structure [H]					
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 3.2 m = 10.	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 3.2 m = 10.5 ft  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 1.2 m = 3.9 ft					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   4.58 m = 15.0 ft   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Highway beneath structure [H]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Meets minimum	tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's	forces [2]				
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 57000	Roadway improvement cost	169000			
actorioration of madoquate strongth [55]	Length of structure improvement 57 m	n = 187.0 ft Total project cos	774000			
	Year of improvement cost estimate					
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - pe	ercent responsibility of other state			
	Border bridge - structure number					

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]  Condition ratings - superstructure Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]  Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			
		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment				
Condition ratings - substructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as			
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry	is [5]			
Scour	Bridge not over v	vaterway. [N]				
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable. [N	N]				
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y N/A [N]					
Appraisarratings - water adequate	y IWA [IV]		Status evaluation			
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 75.2			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	f structure is not a culve	rt. [N]				
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	ntahla standards [1]			
Traffic safety features - rainings  Traffic safety features - transitions		imposiou reature meets currently doce	proble standards. [1]			
Traffic safety features - approach		Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Inspection date May 2017 [09]	517] Desi	gnated inspection frequency 24	Months			
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date			
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in:	spection date May 2017 [0517]			
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date			