## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-03-06.00 =	077-57-18.00
Pennsylvania [42] Fulton County [057]		Dublin [20112] FORT LITTLETON INTERCHGE			40.051667	= -77.955000		
17968 Highway agency district: 9			Owner State Toll Authority [31]		Maintenance	responsibility	State Toll Authority	[31]
Route 76 TURNPIKE RAMP			Toll On tol	Toll On toll road [2] Features intersected PA TPK (I		76)		
Design - Steel [3] main	fleenheers water [00]	Design - approach	[00]	Kilometerpoint 0 Year built 1939	km = 0.0 mi Year red	constructed 199	0	
Girder and	floorbeam system [03]	] 0 Otl	ner [00]	Skew angle 7 Historical significance	Structure F	lared s not eligible for t	he NRHP [5]	
Total length 37.8 m	= 124.0 ft Ler	ngth of maximum	span 32.9 m = 107.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-			dway width, curb-to-c	urb 5.2 m = 17.1 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	al Horizontal Clearance	5.2 m = 17.1 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	width - left $0.2 \text{ m} = 0$	0.7 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0.2  m = 0.7  ft
Deck structure type	C	Concrete Cast-in-F	Place [1]					
Type of wearing surface Latex Concrete or s		similar additive [3]						
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Rein		nforcing [1]						
Type of membrane/w	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory ratin		ng Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ir	nventory rating	29.9 metric ton	= 32.9 tons		
5 km = 3.1 mi  Method to determine operating rating			ing Load Factor(LF) [1]	C	perating rating	50.8 metric ton	= 55.9 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					esign Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details			
Average Daily Traffic 13591 Average daily tr	uck traffi 26 % Year 1993 Futur	e average daily traffic 15871	Year 2030
Road classification	ban) [11] Lanes on structure 2	Approach	roadway width 5.8 m = 19.0 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffi	ic [2]	ridge median Closed median with non-mountable barr
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure			
Type of service under bridge Highway, with or without	ut ped Lanes under structure 5	Navigation control Not applicable	e, no waterway. [N]
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal (	clearance 0 = N/A	
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft	Minimum vertical clearance over bridg	99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature H	ghway beneath structure [H]		
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 2.8 m = 9.2	ft Mi	inimum lateral underclearance on left	1.2 m = 3.9 ft
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4.34 m = 14.2 ft	Minimum vertical under	clearance reference feature Highway	beneath structure [H]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intoler	able requiring high priority of corrrective action	[3]	
Repair and Replacement Plans			
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract	t [1]	
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 76000	Roadway improvement cost	223000
dotonovation of madequate strongum [20]	Length of structure improvement 47 r	m = 154.2 ft Total project cos	t 1023000
	Year of improvement cost estimate		
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - pe	ercent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number		

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - superstructure Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge not over wa	Bridge not over waterway. [N]					
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable. [N]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y N/A [N]		Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 59.7				
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a culvert	[N]					
Traffic safety features - railings	In	pected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transitions							
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail						
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends In	pected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]				
Inspection date November 20	018 [1118] Design	nated inspection frequency 24	Months				
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec	ection date				
•	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspec	pection date				