HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						40-13-02.81 =	076-04-46.58
Pennsylvania [42]	Lancaster County [071]	East Cocalico [20984]	1MI SOF SWARTZVILI	LE		40.217447	= -76.079606
21794	Highway agency district: 8	Owner State Toll Autho	rity [31]	Maintenance respon	nsibility St	ate Toll Authority	[31]
Route 0	LR 36169, SR 1059	Toll On fre	e road [3] Fe	eatures intersected P	A TPK (I-76)		
Design - Steel [3] main Girder and f	Design - approach loorbeam system [03] 0 Other	· [00]	Kilometerpoint 162 Year built 1950 Skew angle 0	.1 km = 100.5 mi Year reconstru Structure Flared	octed N/A [000	0]	
			Historical significance	Bridge is not e	ligible for the N	RHP. [5]	
Total length 35.1 m = 115.2 ft Length of maximum span 33.5 m = 109.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 8.6 m = 28.2 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft							
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance 7.3 m = 24.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left $0.2 \text{ m} = 0.7$	ft C	urb or sidewalk	k width - right	0.2 m = 0.7 ft
Deck structure type	Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]			uctural deck) [1]				
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/we	aring surface						
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length	ength Method to determine inventory rating Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inve	entory rating 32.7 r	metric ton = 36.	.0 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to determine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ope	erating rating 55.3 r	metric ton = 60.	.8 tons	
	Bridge posting Equal to or above I	egal loads [5]	Des	ign Load MS 18 / H	S 20 [5]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 2012 Average daily tr	uck traffi 2 % Year 2018 Future av	rerage daily traffic 3896	Year 2030				
Road classification Local (Urban) [19] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Brid	Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]	,					
Type of service under bridge Highway, with or without ped Lanes under structure 4 Navigation control Not applicable, no waterway. [N]							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clea	rance 0 = N/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature H	ighway beneath structure [H]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 2.5 m = 8.2	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 2.5 m = 8.2 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 1.2 m = 3.9 ft						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]							
Repair and Replacement Plans			<u></u>				
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's for	ces [2]					
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 18000	Roadway improvement cost	52000				
actorioration of madoquate strongth [50]	Length of structure improvement 44 m =	144.4 ft Total project cost	241000				
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - perce	ent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]			
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]			
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry				
Scour	Bridge not over waterway. [N]				
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable. [N]					
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y N/A [N]		Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 75.6			
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a culvert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings						
Traffic safety features - transition	S					
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail Inpected fea	ed feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends Inpected fea	ature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]			
Inspection date April 2017 [0-	Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Months			
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date			
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	April 2017 [0417]			
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	pection date			