HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Informatio	1							39-47-55.80 =	076-33-00.94
Pennsylvania [42] York County [133]			East Hopewell [21296] 1.5 SE CROSSROADS/TR RAMB		39.798833	= -76.550261			
38110 Highway agency district 8			Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway Agency [02]				
Route 0 UNION CHURCH RD.			Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersect	ed TRIB. TO F	RAMBO RUN		
Design - main Masonry [8] Arch - Deck [11]		Design - approach Other [00]		Kilometerpoint 0 k Year built 1912 Skew angle 0	m = 0.0 mi Year reco	onstructed N/A	[0000]		
Historical significance Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4] Total length 10.4 m = 34.1 ft Length of maximum span 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.3 m = 17.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4 m = 13.1 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4 m = 13.1 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft									
Deck structure type Type of wearing surface Not applicable [N] Not applicable (appli			ies only to structures with no	deck) [N]					
Deck protection Not applicable (appl			ies only to structures with no	deck) [N]					
Type of membrane/wearing surface Not applicable (appli			ies only to structures with no	deck) [N]					
Weight Limits Bypass, detour length 0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine inventory ratin Method to determine operating ratin Bridge posting Equal to or above				g	Ор	, ,	32.7 metric ton 49 metric ton =		

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 100 Average daily to	ruck traffi 2 % Year 1999 Future average daily traffic 70 Year 2009
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.9 m = 16.1 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 10 m = 32.8 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0
deterioration of madequate strongth. [50]	Length of structure improvement 17 m = 55.8 ft Total project cost 0
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as					
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]	deck geometry	is [5]					
Scour	Bridge foundations determi required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being enchannel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum	criteria [6]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 72					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	ns							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inpected for	eature meets currently acce	ptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inpected for	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date April 2013 [0	Designated ins	spection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date					