The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							39-58-04 =	080-26-48 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Greene County [059]		Richhill [64512] NORTH OF NEBO		39.967778	80.446667			
307216034620780	Highway ag	ency district 12	Owner County Highway	/ Agency [02]	Maintenance r	esponsibility	County Highway A	Agency [02]
Route #Num!	TO	WNSHIP ROAD 346	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersecte	ed OWENS RUI	V	
Design - Steel [3] main Truss - Thr	u [10]	Design - approach 0 Othe	r [00]	Kilometerpoint 0 kilometerpoint 1911 Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure Fla		ot determinable at t	his time. [4]
Total length 17.4 m		Length of maximum sp		Deck width, out-to-ou	ut 4.8 m = 15.7 ft	Bridge roadv	way width, curb-to-	curb 4.2 m = 13.8 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	Horizontal Clearar		Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ f}$	Ì	Curb or sidev	valk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type		Wood or Timber [8]						
Type of wearing surfa	ce							
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine in		ermine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inve	entory rating	4.5 metric ton = 5	i.0 tons	
0.6 km = 0.4 mi	Method to dete	ermine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Оре	erating rating	5.4 metric ton = 5	i.9 tons	
Bridge posting				Des	sign Load M 13	.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 25 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 0 % Year 1993 Future average daily traffic 150 Year 2011
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 3.4 m = 11.2 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fo	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
	Work dans by Work to be done by contract [1]
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 21.6 m = 70.9 ft Total project cost 1000
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum	criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]								
Scour	Bridge foundations determine required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being eroochannel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Better than present minimum	n criteria [7]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection				29.5					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transitions									
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail Inpected fea	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]									
Inspection date November 2008 [1108] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date									
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	ded [N] Other special inspection date							