HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation							41-06-27.33 =	076-21-42.99
Pennsylvania [42] Columbia County [037]		7]	Fishing Creek [26056] VILLAGE OF FOI				41.107592	= -76.361942	
12631 Highway agency district: 3		Owner State Highway Agency [01]		Maintenance	responsibility	State Highway Age	ency [01]		
Route 0 SR 1020		20	Toll On free road [3]		Features intersected FISHING CREEK				
main	Steel [3] Stringer/Mu	lti-beam or girder [02]	Design - approach Othe	r [00]	Kilometerpoint 0 km Year built 1955 Skew angle 13	m = 0.0 mi Year rec Structure FI	onstructed 1970 ared	6	
					Historical significance	Bridge is	not eligible for t	he NRHP. [5]	
Total length 38.4 m = 126.0 ft Length of maximum span 18.3 m = 60.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 8.3 m = 27.2 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.4 m = 24.3 ft									
Inventory F	Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	7.4 m = 24.3 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left 0.6 m = 2.0) ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type Open Grating [3]									
Type of wearing surface									
Deck protection									
Type of me	embrane/we	aring surface							
Weight Li	mits								
Bypass, detour length 5.6 km = 3.5 mi Method to determine inventory Method to determine operating		ine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inve	entory rating	22.7 metric ton	= 25.0 tons		
		ine operating rating	ng Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating 73.5 metric ton = 80.9 tons		= 80.9 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal			legal loads [5]	Des	sign Load				

Functional Details					
Average Daily Traffic 561 Average daily to	ruck traffi 9 % Year 2018 Future average daily traff	fic 701 Year 2032			
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft			
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median			
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]				
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation contro				
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A				
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]				
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	erclearance on left 0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference	e feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]			
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]					
Repair and Replacement Plans					
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]				
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 15000 Roadway	y improvement cost 50000			
deterior di inducequate strength. [50]	Length of structure improvement 38 m = 124.7 ft	Total project cost 199000			
	Year of improvement cost estimate				
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state			
	Border bridge - structure number				

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]							
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridg	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being ero channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Somewhat better than minir in place as is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 62.7					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	f structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	S							
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends Inpected fe	ature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date January 201	Designated insp	pection frequency 24	Months					
·	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ction date					
·	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					