2009 Inventory

HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Pennsylvania [42] Cumberland County [041] Middlesex [49072] 3.0 NE CARLISLE MIDDLESEX 40.239722 77.164722 2 17206050836110 Highway agency district: 8 Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility County Highway Agency [02] Roule 0 WOLF BR.RD. T-508 Toll On free road [3] Features intersected CONODOGUINET CREEK Design -	Basic Information			40-14-23 =	077-09-53 = -
Route 0 WOLF BR.RD, T-508 Toll On free road [3] Features intersected CONODOGUINET CREEK Design - Maininum, Wrought Iron or Cast Iron [9] Aluminum, Wrought Iron or Cast Iron [9] Aluminum, Wrought Iron or Cast Iron [9] Design - Approach A	Pennsylvania [42] Cumberland County [04:	Middlesex [49072]	3.0 NE CARLISLE MIDDLESEX		
Design - Aluminum, Wrought Iron or Cast Design - approach Year built 1895 Year reconstructed N/A [0000] Truss - Thru [10] 0 Other [00] Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is possibly eligible for the NRHP. [3] Total length 58.5 m = 191.9 ft Length of maximum span 57.9 m = 190.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.5 m = 14.8 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.5 m = 14.8 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Deck structure type Open Grating [3] Type of wearing surface Open Grating Surface Deck protection Open Grating Surface Open Grating O	217206050836110 Highway agency d	district: 8 Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		ency [02]
main ron [9] approach	Route 0 WOLF BR	R.RD, T-508 Toll On fre	ee road [3] Features intersected [0]	ONODOGUINET CREEK	
Total length 58.5 m = 191.9 ft Length of maximum span 57.9 m = 190.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.5 m = 14.8 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.5 m = 14.8 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft Deck structure type Open Grating [3] Type of wearing surface Deck protection Type of membrane/wearing surface Weight Limits Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Inventory rating 16.3 metric ton = 17.9 tons	main Iron [9]	approach	Year built 1895 Year reconstruction Skew angle 0 Structure Flared		
Type of wearing surface Deck protection Type of membrane/wearing surface Weight Limits Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Inventory rating 16.3 metric ton = 17.9 tons			Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft	Bridge roadway width, curb-to-cur	
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Inventory rating 16.3 metric ton = 17.9 tons	Type of wearing surface Deck protection	n Grating [3]			
Bridge posting Design Load	Bypass, detour length 0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to determine Method to determine	, , ,	Operating rating 18.1		

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 2000 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 2004 Future average daily traffic 2500 Year 2020
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 6.1 m = 20.0 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 3.83 m = 12.6 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Down's and Down and Disco	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0
acconstance of maccopatic cultural [55]	Length of structure improvement 68.6 m = 225.1 ft Total project cost 0
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficience	су								
Structure status Poste	ed for load	ad [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural		Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			
Condition ratings - supersi	structure Po	oor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment		Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]			
Condition ratings - substru	tructure Fair [5]			Appraisal ratings - Basica		ically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - deck	Fa	ir [5]	deck ge	deck geometry					
Scour Bridge foundations determined			ns determined to be stal	ble for assesse	d or calcula	ed scour condition. [5	5]		
Channel and channel prot	Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]								
Appraisal ratings - water a	adequacy	Equal to presen	minimum criteria [6]	teria [6]		Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]		
Pier or abutment protection						Sufficiency rating	21.6		
Culverts Not applicable.	. Used if st	ructure is not a culve	ert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transitions									
Traffic safety features - a	ipproach gu	ardrail	Inpected feature meets	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]									
Inspection date Septe	ember 2008	[0908] Des	ignated inspection frequ	iency 12	N	Months			
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]			Und	derwater inspec	tion date				
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]			Frac	cture critical ins	spection date	}			
Other special inspection Not needed [N]				er special inspe	ection date				