HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						41-52-47.44 =	071-22-53.49	
Rhode Island [44]	Providence County	y [007]	Pawtucket [54640]	0.2 Mi W of JCT I-95		41.879844	= -71.381525	
9640	Highway age	ency district: 3	Owner City or Municipa	ıl Highway Agency [04]	Maintenance responsibility	City or Municipal H	ighway Agency [04]	
Route 0	RI 1	15&114 XCHNG ST	Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fea	atures intersected BLACKSTC	NE RIVER		
Design - Concrete []	Design - approach		Kilometerpoint 923.	.5 km = 572.6 mi			
	. [11]		[00]	Year built 1928	Year reconstructed N/A	[0000]		
2 Arch - Dec	([11]	Othe	er [00]	Skew angle 10	Structure Flared			
				Historical significance	Bridge is eligible for the N	NRHP. [2]		
Total length 64 m =	210.0 ft L	ength of maximum s	pan 29.3 m = 96.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	19.6 m = 64.3 ft Bridge road	dway width, curb-to-cu	urb 11.6 m = 38.1 ft	
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearan	ce 11.6 m = 38.1 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left $3 \text{ m} = 9.8 \text{ ft}$	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	3 m = 9.8 ft	
Deck structure type		Not applicable [N]						
Type of wearing surface		Not applicable (applies only to structures with no deck) [N]						
Deck protection		Not applicable (applies only to structures with no deck) [N]						
Type of membrane/wearing surface Not		Not applicable (applies only to structures with no deck) [N]						
Wainshi Limita								
Weight Limits Bypass, detour lengt	n Method to dete	rmine inventory ratin	g Load and Resistance	e Factor(LRFR) [3] Inve	entory rating 27.2 metric ton :	= 29 9 tons		
0.1 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine inventory rating			, , , ,	rating rating 35.4 metric ton				
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above		, , , , , ,	ign Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]			

Functional Details					
Average Daily Traffic 12626 Average daily tru	uck traffi 10 % Year 2014 Future	average daily traffic 15152 Ye	ear 2036		
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban) [14] Lanes on structure 2	Approach road	dway width 11.6 m = 38.1 ft		
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic	[2] Bridge	e median		
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]				
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control			
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal cl	earance 0 = N/A			
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	lge N	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge ro	adway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft		
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	ature not a highway or railroad [N]				
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical undercl	earance reference feature Feature not a	h highway or railroad [N]		
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]					
Repair and Replacement Plans					
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract	[1]			
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 1957000	Roadway improvement cost	196000		
deterioration of indusquate strongth. [66]	Length of structure improvement 64 m	= 210.0 ft Total project cost	3914000		
	Year of improvement cost estimate				
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percer	nt responsibility of other state		
	Border bridge - structure number				

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] Equal to present minimum criteria [6] Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]			
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Appraisal ratings -				
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]	deck geometry				
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; br	idge foundations determined	d to be unstable. [3]			
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being a channel. [5]	eroded. River control devices	es and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the			
Appraisal ratings - water adequae	Better than present minir	Better than present minimum criteria [7] Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 65.2			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpected	feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]			
Traffic safety features - transition	ns					
Traffic safety features - approac	n guardrail					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends					
Inspection date April 2016 [0	Designated i	rspection frequency 24	4 Months			
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	pection date May 2014 [0514]			
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	inspection date			
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special insp	spection date April 2017 [0417]			