HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							33-41-15.00 =	079-00-17.00	
South Carolina [45]	Horry County [051		Unknown [00000]	10.5MI S OF CONW	/AY		33.687500	= -79.004722	
687 Highway agency district 5			Owner State Highway	Owner State Highway Agency [01]		Maintenance responsibility State Highway Agency [01]			
Route 616	S-2	6-616	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersect	ed INTERCOA	ASTAL WATERWAY		
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Movable - S	wing [17]	approach	crete [1] beam [04]	Kilometerpoint 1 Year built 1935 Skew angle 0	00 km = 62.0 mi Year reco	onstructed #Nu	ım!		
				Historical significance	ce Bridge is	on the NRHP. [[1]		
Total length 90.5 m =	296.9 ft L	ength of maximum s	oan 66.1 m = 216.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-	out 8.5 m = 27.9 f	Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-cu	rb 7.4 m = 24.3 ft	
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearan	ce 7.4 m = 24.3 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0$	O ft	Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ace [1]						
Type of wearing surface Monolith		Monolithic Concrete	onolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]						
Deck protection Unkn		Unknown [8]	Inknown [8]						
Type of membrane/wearing surface Unknown [8]									
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Allowable Stress(AS	s) [2]	nventory rating	18 metric ton =	19.8 tons			
0.2 km = 0.1 mi	Method to dete	rmine operating ratin	Allowable Stress(AS	5) [2] C	perating rating	30.6 metric ton	= 33.7 tons		
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above	legal loads [5]		Design Load M 13	3.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details		
Average Daily Traffic 17600 Average daily tr	uck traffi 2 % Year 2011 Future average daily traffic	19712 Year 2031
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 11 m = 36.1 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median
Parallel structure designatio No parallel structure	e exists. [N]	
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control	Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]
Navigation vertical clearanc 4.3 m = 14.1 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 24.4 m = 80.	.1 ft
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clears	ance over bridge roadway 4.57 m = 15.0 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]	
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	nited Minimum lateral underclea	arance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference fea	ture Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]		
Description I Description		
Repair and Replacement Plans		
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]	
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 1284000 Roadway imp	provement cost 321000
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 101.5 m = 333.0 ft	otal project cost 1926000
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2013	
	Border bridge - state Bor	rder bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number	

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations determin	ed to be stable for assesse	ed or calculated	d scour condition. [5]			
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of Banks and/or channel have I		rol devices and	embankment protec	ction have a little minor damage.		
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable c	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]		Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]		
Pier or abutment protection	In place but in a deteriorated	In place but in a deteriorated condition [3]		Sufficiency rating	ating 28.3		
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transition	ns						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends						
Inspection date August 2012	Designated insp	ection frequency 12	Mor	nths	_		
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	June 2008 [0608]		3]		
·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	spection date	May 2011 [0511]			
Other special inspection	Unknown [N00]	Other special insp	ection date				