HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							35-04-48.42 =	085-13-08.04
Tennessee [47] Hamilton County [065]		Chattanooga [14000] 0.72 MI. W OF SR-153 JCT.			35.080117	= -85.218900		
33SR0580013 Highway agency district 2		Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance respon		responsibility	State Highway Age	ncy [01]		
Route 17 FAU 17			Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersec	cted CHICKAMA	AUGA CREEK	
Design - Steel [3] main	. [10]	approach	rete [1]	Kilometerpoint 1 Year built 1929	319.7 km = 818.2 Year red	2 mi constructed N/A	[0000]	
1 Truss - Thru [10]		6 Tee b	eam [04]	Skew angle 0	Structure F	lared		
				Historical significand	ce Historica	al significance is	not determinable at th	is time. [4]
Total length 128 m =	420.0 ft L	ength of maximum sp	an 49.4 m = 162.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-	-out 13.2 m = 43.	3 ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-cu	urb 12.2 m = 40.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearan	ce 12.2 m = 40.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	vidth - left $0 m = 0.$	0 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface Preformed Fabric [2]								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		nventory rating	24.3 metric ton	= 26.7 tons	
4 km = 2.5 mi Method to determine operating rating Allowable			Allowable Stress(AS	5) [2]	Operating rating	36 metric ton =	39.6 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]]	Design Load M 1	13.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details					
Average Daily Traffic 17970 Average daily to	ruck traffi 3 % Year 2012 Future average daily traffic 28752 Year 2033				
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 12.2 m = 40.0 ft				
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]				
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A				
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5.01 m = 16.4 ft				
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]				
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A					
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]					
Repair and Replacement Plans					
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]				
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 3416000 Roadway improvement cost 342000				
deterioration of madequate strength. [55]	Length of structure improvement 128 m = 420.0 ft Total project cost 5125000				
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2013				
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number				

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no	restriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - superstructure Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]				
Condition ratings - substructure Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundation	s determined to be stable for the ass	ssessed or calculated scour condition. [8]				
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is channel. [5]	being eroded. River control devices	ces and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the				
Appraisal ratings - water adec	lacy Equal to present	Equal to present desirable criteria [8] Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 70.5				
Culverts Not applicable. Us	ed if structure is not a culve	t. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railing	S						
Traffic safety features - trans	tions	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - appro	ach guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - appro	ach guardrail ends						
Inspection date April 201	[0411] Desi	nated inspection frequency 24	24 Months				
Unknown [Y60]		Underwater inspe	pection date October 2012 [1012]				
Fracture critical inspection Every two years [Y24		Fracture critical in	inspection date April 2011 [0411]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	on action data				