

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

Tennessee [47]	Carter County [019]	Elizabethton [23500]	IN CITY OF ELIZBETHTON	36-12-00.00 = 36.200000	082-12-48.00 = -82.213333
10SR0670029	Highway agency district: 1	Owner State Highway Agency [01]	Maintenance responsibility	State Highway Agency [01]	
Route 321	East [2]	FAP 67	Toll On free road [3]	Features intersected DOE RIVER	
Design - main	Concrete continuous [2]	Design - approach		Kilometerpoint	1142.6 km = 708.4 mi
5	Arch - Deck [11]	0	Other [00]	Year built	1929
				Year reconstructed	2005
				Skew angle	0
				Structure Flared	
				Historical significance	Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4]
Total length	91 m = 298.6 ft	Length of maximum span	20.4 m = 66.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	24.5 m = 80.4 ft
				Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb	17.9 m = 58.7 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance	17.9 m = 58.7 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left	3 m = 9.8 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right	3 m = 9.8 ft
Deck structure type	Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]				
Type of wearing surface	Bituminous [6]				
Deck protection					
Type of membrane/wearing surface					

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length	Method to determine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inventory rating	32.4 metric ton = 35.6 tons
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to determine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Operating rating	44.1 metric ton = 48.5 tons
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above legal loads [5]	Design Load	MS 18 / HS 20 [5]

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	26800	Average daily truck traffi	5	%	Year	2017	Future average daily traffic	42880	Year	2038
Road classification	Other Principal Arterial (Urban) [14]		Lanes on structure	5	Approach roadway width	17.7 m = 58.1 ft				
Type of service on bridge	Highway-pedestrian [5]		Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]		Lanes under structure	0	Navigation control					
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge			Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	0 = N/A				Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]								
Widening of existing bridge or other major structure without deck rehabilitation or replacement [33]	Bridge improvement cost	911000	Roadway improvement cost	92000						
	Length of structure improvement	91 m = 298.6 ft		Total project cost	1367000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2018								
	Border bridge - state				Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Open, no restriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]		
Scour	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]		
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Better than present minimum criteria [7]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]
Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating	76.2
Culverts	Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - railings	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - transitions	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Inspection date	July 2017 [0717]	Designated inspection frequency	24 Months
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date	
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date	
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date	