## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

## 2013 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	Basic Information 36-20-16.98 = 086-43-00.96								
Tennessee [47]		Davidson County [037]		Goodlettsville [29920]	IN GOODLETTSVILLE   36-20-10,70 =   -86.716933				
190E2240001		Highway agency district: 3		Owner City or Munic	cipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility City or Municipal Highway Agency [04]				
Route 489 NFA E489			NFA E489	Toll On	n free road [3] Features intersected MANSKER CREEK				
Design - mainMasonry [8]2Arch - Deck [11]		Design - approach 0 Oth	ner [00]	Kilometerpoint 8.5 km = 5.3 mi   Year built 1841 Year reconstructed   Skew angle 0 Structure Flared   Historical significance Bridge is on the NRHP. [1]					
Total length 27.4 m = 89.9 ft Length of maximum span 7.6 m = 24.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 7.5 m = 24.6 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.9 m = 19.4 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.9 m = 19.4 ft Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place					Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft 0 m = 0.0 ft				
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]			Bituminous [6]						
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wearing surface									
Weight Limits									
			etermine inventory ration	ng No rating analysis	s or evaluation perfor Inventory rating 24.3 metric ton = 26.7 tons				
0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to deter		etermine operating rati	ng No rating analysis	s or evaluation perfor Operating rating 36 metric ton = 39.6 tons					
Bridge posting Equal to or above lega			ng Equal to or above	e legal loads [5]	Design Load				

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 30 Average daily tr	uck traffi 4 % Year 2013 Future average daily tra	iffic 48 Year 2033							
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 5.8 m = 19.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation contr	ol							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance $0 = N/A$	A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference	re feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by								
	Bridge improvement cost Roadwa	ay improvement cost							
	Length of structure improvement	Total project cost							
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for loa	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundation	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Better than prese	nt minimum criteria [7]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 90.5						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	s N	Not applicable or a safety feature is no	or a safety feature is not required. [N]						
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail	Not applicable or a safety feature is no	e or a safety feature is not required. [N]						
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends	Not applicable or a safety feature is no	ot required. [N]						
Inspection date August 2011	[0811] Desig	gnated inspection frequency 24	Months						
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date									
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in:	spection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						