## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

## 2019 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Inf	formation								36-02-56.90 =	089-33-28.40
Tennessee [47]		Dyer County [045]		Unknow	Unknown [00000] 3.3 M W JCT S		R20&SR182		36.049139	= -89.557889
23SR0200009		Highway agency district: 4		Owner	wner State Highway Agency [01]		Maintenance	responsibility	sponsibility State Highway Agency [01]	
Route 1	Route 104 FAS 104				Toll   On free road [3]   Features intersected   OBION RIVER			R		
Design - main 1	ain approach		concrete [1] ee beam [04]	Year built 1948 am [04] Skew angle 0		Structure Flared			is timo [4]	
Historical significance     Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4]       Total length     167.9 m = 550.9 ft     Length of maximum span     30.8 m = 101.1 ft     Deck width, out-to-out     9.3 m = 30.5 ft     Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb     7.3 m = 24.0 ft										
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.3 m = 24.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.6 m = 2.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width					walk width - right	0.6 m = 2.0 ft				
Deck stru	ucture type	Сс	oncrete Cast-in	-Place [1]						
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (c			ete (concurren	tly placed with stru	uctural deck) [1]					
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinford			einforcing [1]							
Type of n	membrane/we	earing surface								
Weight L	imits									
Bypass, detour lengthMethod to determine inventory rating8.5 km = 5.3 miMethod to determine operating rating			ating Allo	wable Stress(AS)	) [2]	Inventory rating	29.7 metric ton =	32.7 tons		
			ating Allo	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Operating rating 43.2 metric ton = 47.5 tons		47.5 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above leg			ove legal loads	[5]		Design Load M 1	8 / H 20 [4]			

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 540 Average daily tr	uck traffi 15 % Year 2017 F	uture average daily traffic 8	64 Year 203	8		
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2		Approach roadway wid	th 11 m = 36.1 ft		
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way	traffic [2]	Bridge median			
Parallel structure designation No parallel structur	e exists. [N]					
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizon	ntal clearance 0 = N/A				
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge	Minimum vertical clearanc	e over bridge roadway	4.95 m = 16.2 ft		
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance     4.95 m = 16.2 ft     Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature     Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]						
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed   Work done by   Work to be done by contract [1]						
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 993000	Roadway improv	vement cost 100000	)		
	Length of structure improvement	167.9 m = 550.9 ft Tota	l project cost 149000	00		
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2018				
	Border bridge - state	Border	r bridge - percent respons	sibility of other state		
	Border bridge - structure number					

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]							
Scour	Bridge foundations determined	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	sy Somewhat better than minimu in place as is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate b in place as is [5]			Structurally deficient [	1]		
Pier or abutment protection					46.6			
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	Inpected feature	re meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inpected featu	re meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inpected featu	ure meets currently acce	ptable standards	5. [1]				
Inspection date January 2018 [0118] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	ction date	September 2016	6 [0916]			
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical inspection date January 2018 [0118]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					