HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2013 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Inform	nation									36-18-45 54 -	086-40-35-82
Tennessee [47]		Davidson County [037]			Nashv	Nashville-Davidson [5200 2 M E JCT SR6&SR45			36.312650	= -86.676617	
19SR0450002		Highway agency district: 3			Owne	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Age	ency [01]	
Route 45 FAU 45				Toll On fre	e road [3]	Features interse	ected CUMBERL	AND RIVER			
Design - main 3 Steel [3] Truss - Thru [10]				Design - approachPrestres [6]6Box Bea Spread		oncrete continuous girders - Single or	Kilometerpoint Year built 1928 Skew angle 0	1490.3 km = 924. Year re Structure	0 mi econstructed 196 Flared	9	
					m 202.0 ft	Historical signification	to out 11.6 m 29	cal significance is	not determinable at th	nis time. [4]	
$\begin{array}{c} \text{I otal length} 3/2.5 \text{ m} = 1222.2 \text{ ft} \\ \text{Length of maximum span } 98.5 \\ \text{I otal length} 10.0 \text{ m} = 25.0 \text{ ft} \\ 10.0 \text$					um span 98.5	III = 323.2 II	Deck width, out	-10-001 11.0 m = 38	Curb or sid	away width right	$\frac{11 \text{ m} = 30.1 \text{ m}}{0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}}$
Deck structure type Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete Cast-in-Plac			in-Place [1]	ently placed with stru	uctural deck) [1]	0.0 11		ewaik widin - ngni	0 111 = 0.0 11		
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinfor			Reinforcing [1]								
Type of membrane/wearing surface Built-up [1]											
Weight Limit	its										
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory i			rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating	rating 27.9 metric ton = 30.7 tons				
0.2 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine			ne operating	e operating rating Load Factor(LF) [1]			Operating rating	46.8 metric ton	= 51.5 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				ds [5]		Design Load M	S 18 / HS 20 [5]				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 26250 Average daily tr	uck traffi 4 % Year 2012 Future average	daily traffic 40320 Year 2033						
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 10.4 m = 34.1 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 1 - way traffic [1]	Bridge median Open median [1]						
Parallel structure designation The left structure of parallel bridges. This structure carries traffic in the opposite direction. [L]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation	on control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5.34 m = 17.5 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum late	eral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance	reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost	Roadway improvement cost						
	Length of structure improvement	Total project cost						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Suff	iciency									
Structure status	Open, no res	triction [A]	As	Appraisal ratings - tructural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - si	dition ratings - superstructure Satisfactory [6]			Appraisal ratings - Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - si	Condition ratings - substructure Satis			Appraisal ratings -	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - de	eck	Satisfactory [6]	(deck geometry						
Scour		Bridge four	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channe	el protection	Bank prote Banks and	ank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. anks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - w	ater adequac	y Equal to p	esent desirable criteria	ia [8] Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment pro	otection				Su	ufficiency rating	86.3			
Culverts Not applie	cable. Used i	f structure is not a	culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety feature	es - railings									
Traffic safety feature	es - transition	S								
Traffic safety feature	es - approach	guardrail								
Traffic safety feature	es - approach	guardrail ends								
Inspection date March 2011 [0311] Designated in				n frequency 24	Mon	ths				
Underwater inspection Unknown [Y60]				Underwater inspection date July 2010 [0710]						
Fracture critical ins	spection	Every two years [`	(24]	Fracture critical inspection date		March 2011 [0311]				
Other special inspe	ection	Not needed [N]		Other special inspection date						