HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							35-57-54.00 =	085-43-06.00
Tennessee [47] DeKalb County [041]			Unknown [00000]	IN SMITHVILLE CI				= -85.718333
21SR0260021 Highway agency of		cy district 2	Owner State Highway Agency [01]		Maintenance	e responsibility	State Highway Age	ncy [01]
Route 70 FAP 26			Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features interse	cted CANEY FC	PRK RV&NFA A199	
Design - Steel [3] main 5 Truss - Thr	u [10]	approach	rete [1] eam [04]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1948 Skew angle 0	3955.8 km = 2452 Year re Structure F	econstructed N/A	[0000]	
				Historical significan	ce Historia	cal significance is	not determinable at th	is time. [4]
Total length 419.1 m	n = 1375.1 ft Len	ngth of maximum sp	an 92.7 m = 304.1 ft	Deck width, out-to	o-out 8.3 m = 27.2	2 ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-cu	7.3 m = 24.0 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearance	7.3 m = 24.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left 0 m = 0	.0 ft	Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	С	oncrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (e (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]						
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reir		poxy Coated Reinfo	inforcing [1]					
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengt	n Method to determ	ine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]	Inventory rating	12.6 metric ton	= 13.9 tons	
10.9 km = 6.8 mi	Method to determ	ine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]	Operating rating	20.7 metric ton	= 22.8 tons	
	Bridge posting	10.0 - 19.9 % belo	ow [3]		Design Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 3190 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2012 Future average daily traffic	5104 Year 2033						
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 11 m = 36.1 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway [6]	Lanes under structure 2 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5.05 m = 16.6 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0.9 m = 3.0 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0.9 m = 3.0 ft								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4.57 m = 15.0 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 18725000 Roadway im	nprovement cost 1873000						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 419.1 m = 1375.1 ft	Total project cost 28088000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2013							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency	у								
Structure status Posted	d for load [P]			ppraisal ratings - tructural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]			Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment		Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - substructure Satisfac		factory [6]		Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Condition ratings - deck Poor [4]		C						
Scour		Bridge with "unk	nown" foundation	that has not been ev	aluated for so	cour. [U]			
Channel and channel protection				or repairs. River contr amounts of drift. [7]	ol devices ar	nd embankment prote	ection have a little minor	damage.	
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Equal to present	esent desirable criteria [8] Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]					1]	
Pier or abutment protection						Sufficiency rating	18.3		
Culverts Not applicable.	Used if struc	cture is not a culve	ert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - rai	ilings								
Traffic safety features - transitions Inpected fea			Inpected feature	iture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Inpected for			Inpected feature	feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - ap	proach guard	drail ends							
Inspection date November 2011 [1111] Design			ignated inspection	n frequency 24	M	onths			
Underwater inspection Unknown [own [Y60]	n [Y60] Underwater ins		ction date	October 2010 [1010]			
		two years [Y24]		Fracture critical ins	'		1 [1111]		
Other special inspection Not no		eeded [N]		Other special inspe	ection date				