## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							30-15-53.62	= 097-45-54.14
Texas [48]		vis County [45	3]	Austin [05000]	0.70 MI E OF LP 1	0.70 MI E OF LP 1		= -97.765039
142270B00226001		Highway ago	ency district: 14	Owner City or Mun	icipal Highway Agency [04]	Maintenance respo	onsibility City or Municipa	al Highway Agency [04]
Route 0		ВА	RTON SPRINGS	RD Toll O	n free road [3]	eatures intersected I	BARTON CREEK	
Design - Concrete main  Arch - De			Design - approach	other [00]	Year built 1925	2.6 km = 69.8 mi Year reconstr	ucted 1946	
					Skew angle 15 Historical significance	Structure Flared Bridge is on the		
Total length 64.6 i	n = 212	2.0 ft	ength of maximur	m span 21.3 m = 69.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	17.9 m = 58.7 ft	Bridge roadway width, curb-t	o-curb 14.6 m = 47.9 ft
Inventory Route, To	tal Hori	zontal Clearar	ce 14.6 m = 47.	9 ft Curb or sidewa	1.3  m = 4.3	3 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right	1.3 m = 4.3 ft
Deck structure type			Concrete Cast-in	-Place [1]				
Type of wearing sui	face		Bituminous [6]					
Deck protection			Unknown [8]					
Type of membrane/	wearing	g surface	Unknown [8]					
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour len	gth <sub>I</sub>	Method to dete	rmine inventory ra	iting No rating analys	is or evaluation perfor Inve	entory rating 24.5	metric ton = 27.0 tons	
0.5 km = 0.3 mi	[	Method to dete	rmine operating ra	No rating analys	is or evaluation perfor Ope	erating rating 32.7	metric ton = 36.0 tons	
	[	Bridge posting	Equal to or abo	ve legal loads [5]	Des	sign Load		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 19170 Average daily to	ruck traffi 0 % Year 2014 Future average daily tra	affic 22050 Year 2034							
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 4	Approach roadway width 14.6 m = 47.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	re exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation contr	trol							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	mited Minimum lateral und	derclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 20000 Roadwa	ray improvement cost 5000							
replacements. [50]	Length of structure improvement 64.6 m = 212.0 ft	Total project cost 25000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no r	estriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]  Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - superstructu	re Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundation	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection i channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequ	Better than preson	Better than present minimum criteria [7]		Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation prote	Navigation protection not required [1]		Sufficiency rating	67.3			
	d if structure is not a culve	ert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings			_					
Traffic safety features - transiti	L		e or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approx	11	e or a safety feature is not required. [N]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends  Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]								
Inspection date March 201		gnated inspection frequency 24			1.4]			
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]			March 2014 [03	14]			
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspec	ection date					