## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						29-25-35.56 =	098-29-31.84
Texas [48]	Bexar County [029	9]	San Antonio [65000]	0.05 MI W OF N ST M	IARY'S	29.426544	= -98.492178
150150B16705002	Highway age	ency district: 15	Owner City or Municipa	al Highway Agency [04]	Maintenance responsibil	ity City or Municipal H	ighway Agency [04]
Route 8138	EH	HOUSTON ST	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersected SAN A	ANTONIO RIVER	
Design - Concrete comain  Tee beam [6]	ontinuous [2]	Design - approach  0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 83.  Year built 1925  Skew angle 0  Historical significance	7 km = 51.9 mi  Year reconstructed  Structure Flared  Bridge is eligible for		
Total length 32.6 m =	= 107.0 ft L	Length of maximum spa	an 10.7 m = 35.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-or		e roadway width, curb-to-cu	urb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearar	7.3  m = 24.0  ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left 4.9 m = 16	o.1 ft Curb o	or sidewalk width - right	5.2 m = 17.1 ft
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Place	ce [1]				
Type of wearing surface	ce	Other [9]					
Deck protection Unknown [8]							
Type of membrane/we	earing surface	Unknown [8]					
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length	Method to dete	ermine inventory rating	No rating analysis or	evaluation perfor Inv	entory rating 24.5 metric	c ton = 27.0 tons	
0.2 km = 0.1 mi	Method to dete	ermine operating rating	No rating analysis or	evaluation perfor Op	erating rating 32.7 metric	c ton = 36.0 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]	De	sign Load		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 6710 Average daily to	ruck traffi 0 % Year 2011 Future average daily traffic	c 10000 Year 2031							
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift br	earance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unli	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 5000 Roadway	improvement cost 1000							
replacements. [66]	Length of structure improvement 32.6 m = 107.0 ft	Total project cost 6000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Open, no res	Open, no restriction [A]		Equal to present minimum criteria [6]			
Condition ratings - superstructure	n ratings - superstructure Satisfactory [6]		Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry				
Scour	Countermeasures have been	installed to mitigate an ex	isting problem with scour.	[7]		
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well v required or are in a stable con	egetated. River control de ndition. [8]	evices such as spur dikes	and embankment protection are not		
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum cr	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]		Functionally obsolete [2]		
Pier or abutment protection				rating 69.9		
	if structure is not a culvert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings						
Traffic safety features - transition  Traffic safety features - approach						
Traffic safety features - approach						
Inspection date August 2017		ection frequency 24	Months			
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec	tion date			
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date			