HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					32-45-27.53 =	097-20-30.53
Texas [48] Tarrant County [439]		Fort Worth [27000] 0.1 MI S OF WHT.		ET. RD.	32.757647	= -97.341814
22200017105018 Highway	agency district: 2	Owner State Highway	Agency [01]	Maintenance responsibility	State Highway Age	ency [01]
Route 199	SH 199	Toll On fro	ee road [3] F	Features intersected CLEAR	FK TRINITY RV	
Design - Concrete [1] main	Design - Concr approach	ete [1]	Kilometerpoint 253 Year built 1930	35 km = 1571.7 mi Year reconstructed N	I/A [0000]	
1 Arch - Deck [11]	14 Tee be	eam [04]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared	. [5]	
			Historical significance	Bridge is on the NRH	P. [1]	
Total length 242.6 m = 796.0 ft	Length of maximum spa	an 37.8 m = 124.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-or	ut 22.3 m = 73.2 ft Bridge i	oadway width, curb-to-c	17.1 m = 56.1 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clea	rance 17.1 m = 56.1 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	width - left $2.1 \text{ m} = 6.9$	9 ft Curb or	sidewalk width - right	2.1 m = 6.9 ft
Deck structure type	Concrete Cast-in-Plac	ce [1]				
Type of wearing surface	Bituminous [6]					
Deck protection	Other [9]					
Type of membrane/wearing surface	Other [9]					
Weight Limits						
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inv	ntory rating 27.2 metric ton = 29.9 tons		
0.8 km = 0.5 mi Method to d	etermine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Op	perating rating 35.4 metric t	on = 38.9 tons	
Bridge posti	ng Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]	Des	esign Load MS 13.5 / HS 15	[3]	

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 26540 Average daily tr	uck traffi 6 % Year 2013 Future average daily traffi	fic 37160 Year 2033						
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 5	Approach roadway width 18.3 m = 60.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 89000 Roadway	y improvement cost 22000						
- opiacomonici [co]	Length of structure improvement 242.6 m = 796.0 ft	Total project cost 111000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to pre	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2		nt [2]		
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	ed to be stable for the asse	essed or calcul	llated scour conditior	า. [8]		
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well v required or are in a stable con	regetated. River control de ndition. [8]	evices such as	s spur dikes and emb	oankment protection are I	not	
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Superior to present desirable	e criteria [9]	(Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]	
Pier or abutment protection				Sufficiency rating	59.3		
	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transition							
Traffic safety features - approach							
Traffic safety features - approach		ection frequency 24	1.4.0	onths			
Inspection date July 2017 [0] Underwater inspection	717] Designated inspending Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec		UHHIS			
·	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins					
·	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	•				
zz. oposiasposiaii		o and opposite mop	22				