## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

## 2019 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							29-26-10.12 =	098-28-51.68
Texas [48] Bexar County [029]		]	San Antonio [65000]	0.1 MI W OF AVENUE B			29.436144	= -98.481022
150150B17900001	Highway age	ncy district: 15	Owner City or Municipa	al Highway Agency [04]	Maintenance re	laintenance responsibility City or Municipa		ghway Agency [04]
Route 0 JONES ST			Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	atures intersecte	d SAN ANTON	IIO RIVER	
Design - Steel [3] main 3 Stringer/Mu	ulti-beam or girder [C	Design - approach 2] 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint43.4Year built1928Skew angle15Historical significance	Structure Flar		for the NRHP. [3]	
Total length       32.6 m = 107.0 ft       Length of maximum span       12.2 m = 40.0 ft       Deck width, out-to-out       18 m = 59.1 ft       Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb       11.9 m = 39.0 ft							rb 11.9 m = 39.0 ft	
Inventory Route, Tota	I Horizontal Clearan	ce 11.9 m = 39.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left 2.4 m = 7.9	ft	Curb or side	valk width - right	2.4 m = 7.9 ft
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Plac	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface		Bituminous [6]						
Deck protection		Unknown [8]						
Type of membrane/we	earing surface	Unknown [8]						
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengtl 0.2 km = 0.1 mi		mine inventory rating mine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS Allowable Stress(AS		, ,	4.5 metric ton = 2.7 metric ton =		
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]	Desi	ign Load			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 1910 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 2011 Future average daily tra	affic 5030 Year 2031						
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 11.9 m = 39.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation contr	rol						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance $0 = N/A$	A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge       Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway       99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost Roadwa	ay improvement cost						
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft	Total project cost 0						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficien	су									
Structure status Oper	ucture status Open, no restriction [A]			Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to prese	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - supers	perstructure Satisfactory [6]			Appraisal ratings - Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substru	s - substructure Good [7]			Appraisal ratings -	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - deck	Go	od [7]		deck geometry						
Scour Channel and channel protection		Bridge found	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
			Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Better than p	present minimum cr	iteria [7]	Sta	atus evaluation				
Pier or abutment protection					Su	fficiency rating	89.6			
Culverts Not applicable	e. Used if stru	ucture is not a c	culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - r	ailings									
Traffic safety features - to	ransitions									
Traffic safety features - a	approach gua	ırdrail								
Traffic safety features - a	approach gua	rdrail ends								
Inspection date August 2017 [0817] Desi			Designated inspecti	ion frequency 24	1 Montl	hs				
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]			Underwater insp	ection date						
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N		needed [N]		Fracture critical inspection dat						
Other special inspection Not ne		eeded [N]		Other special ins	pection date					