HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation										30-15-56.89 =	097-45-23.27
Texas [48	3]	Trav	is County [45	3]	Austin [050	000]	0.2 MI S OF	5TH ST			30.265803	= -97.756464
142270011312065			Highway agency district: 14		Owner S	Owner State Highway Agency [01]			Maintenance	responsibility	City or Municipal H	ighway Agency [04]
Route 34	43		LP:	343/S LAMAR BL	/D	Toll On fre	e road [3]	Fe	eatures intersed	cted LADY BIRI	D LAKE/C CHAVEZ	
Design - main Concrete [1] Arch - Deck [11]			Design - approach Other [00]			Kilometerpoi Year built Skew angle	1943	208.7 km = 129.4 mi Year reconstructed N/A [0000] Structure Flared				
Total length 200.9 m = 659.2 ft Length of ma				ength of maximu	m span 33.5 m =	Historical sig	Historical significance Bridge is on the NRHP. [1] Deck width, out-to-out 15.5 m = 50.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 12.6 m = 41					
Inventory	Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 12.6 m = 41.3 ft Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]					or sidewalk wi		.1 m = 3.6			ewalk width - right	1.1 m = 3.6 ft
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]												
Deck protection				Unknown [8]								
Type of membrane/wearing surface Unkno				Unknown [8]								
Weight Li	mits											
Bypass, 0 0 km = 0.	detour length 0 mi			rmine inventory rarmine operating r	J	and Resistance and Resistance	`	,	entory rating erating rating	32.7 metric ton 44.4 metric ton		
		В	ridge posting	Equal to or ab	ove legal loads [5]			Des	sign Load M 1	13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 39910 Average daily tr	uck traffi 1 % Year 2013 Future average daily traff	fic 55870 Year 2033							
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 4	Approach roadway width 12.8 m = 42.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway [6]	Lanes under structure 4 Navigation contro								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0.2 m = 0.7 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4.12 m = 13.5 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 55000 Roadway	improvement cost 14000							
replacements. [56]	Length of structure improvement 200.9 m = 659.2 ft	Total project cost 69000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Suffi	ciency								
Structure status	Open, no res	triction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - su	uperstructure	Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - su	ubstructure	Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck Fair		Fair [5]		deck geometry					
Scour		Bridge four	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank prote Banks and/	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		y Superior to	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]			Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]		
Pier or abutment prof	tection	Navigation	Navigation protection not required [1]			Sufficiency rating 73			
Culverts Not applic	cable. Used i	f structure is not a	culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transitions									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail									
Traffic safety feature									
Inspection date May 2017 [0517] Designated inspec									
Underwater inspect	L	Unknown [Y60]		Underwater inspec		March 2014 [03	14]		
Fracture critical insp		Not needed [N]		Fracture critical ins	•	9			
Other special inspe	ection	Not needed [N]		Other special inspe	ection date				