

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.  
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

**Basic Information**

Texas [48]	Bexar County [029]	San Antonio [65000]	0.05 MI W OF ST MARYS ST	29-25-27.54 = 29.424317	098-29-33.86 = -98.492739
150150B21880004	Highway agency district: 15	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04]	Maintenance responsibility	City or Municipal Highway Agency [04]	
Route 8083	MARKET ST	Toll On free road [3]	Features intersected	SAN ANTONIO RIVER	
Design - main Concrete continuous [2]	Design - approach Tee beam [04]	Other [00]	Kilometerpoint 440.9 km = 273.4 mi	Year built 1927	Year reconstructed N/A [0000]
2	0		Skew angle 0	Structure Flared	
			Historical significance	Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]	
Total length 21.9 m = 71.9 ft	Length of maximum span 11 m = 36.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 22.3 m = 73.2 ft	Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 13.7 m = 44.9 ft		
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 13.7 m = 44.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left 3 m = 9.8 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right 4.3 m = 14.1 ft			
Deck structure type	Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]				
Type of wearing surface	Other [9]				
Deck protection	Unknown [8]				
Type of membrane/wearing surface	Unknown [8]				

**Weight Limits**

Bypass, detour length 0.2 km = 0.1 mi	Method to determine inventory rating No rating analysis or evaluation perfor	Inventory rating 24.5 metric ton = 27.0 tons
	Method to determine operating rating No rating analysis or evaluation perfor	Operating rating 32.7 metric ton = 36.0 tons
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]	Design Load	

### Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	15680	Average daily truck traffi	0	%	Year	2011	Future average daily traffic	25000	Year	2031
Road classification	Other Principal Arterial (Urban) [14]		Lanes on structure	4	Approach roadway width	13.7 m = 44.9 ft				
Type of service on bridge	Highway [1]		Direction of traffic	1 - way traffic [1]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]		Lanes under structure	0	Navigation control					
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge			Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	99.9 = Unlimited				Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

### Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]								
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost	6000	Roadway improvement cost	2000						
	Length of structure improvement	21.9 m = 71.9 ft		Total project cost	8000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate									
	Border bridge - state				Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number									

## Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Open, no restriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]		

Scour

Countermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7]

Channel and channel protection

Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]

Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]
------------------------------------	--	-------------------	---------------------------

Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating	68.6
-----------------------------	--	--------------------	------

Culverts

Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]

Traffic safety features - railings

Traffic safety features - transitions

Traffic safety features - approach guardrail

Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends

Inspection date

August 2017 [0817]

Designated inspection frequency

24

Months

Underwater inspection

Not needed [N]

Underwater inspection date

Fracture critical inspection

Not needed [N]

Fracture critical inspection date

Other special inspection

Not needed [N]

Other special inspection date