HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						29-25-30.50 =	098-29-28.59
Texas [48] Bexar County [029]		San Antonio [65000] 0.04 MI N OF COMMERCE ST		29-25-30.50 = 29.425139	= -98.491275		
150150B30130004 Highway agency district: 15		vay agency district: 15	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility		City or Municipal H	ighway Agency [04]	
Route 8136 N SAINT MARYS ST			Toll On fre	e road [3] Fea	atures intersected SAN	ANTONIO RIVER	
Design - Concrete main 2 Tee beam	continuous [2]	Design - approach 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 197. Year built 1925 Skew angle 15	9 km = 122.7 mi Year reconstructe Structure Flared	d N/A [0000]	
				Historical significance	Bridge is eligible for	or the NRHP. [2]	
Total length 23.8 m	n = 78.1 ft	Length of maximum spa	11.9 m = 39.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	19.4 m = 63.7 ft Brid	ge roadway width, curb-to-c	urb 10.4 m = 34.1 ft
Inventory Route, Total	al Horizontal C	Clearance 10.4 m = 34.1 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	dth - left 5.3 m = 17.4	4 ft Curb	or sidewalk width - right	2.9 m = 9.5 ft
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Plac	e [1]				
Type of wearing surface Other [9]		Other [9]					
Deck protection Unknown [8]							
Type of membrane/w	vearing surface	e Unknown [8]					
Weight Limits							
		to determine inventory rating	rmine inventory rating No rating analysis or evaluation perfor		Inventory rating 24.5 metric ton = 27.0 tons		
0.2 km = 0.1 mi	Method t	to determine operating rating	No rating analysis or	evaluation perfor Oper	rating rating 32.7 met	ric ton = 36.0 tons	
	Bridge p	expositing Equal to or above le	gal loads [5]	Desi	gn Load		

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 9050 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 0 % Year 2013 Future average daily traf	ffic 11500 Year 2033								
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 3	Approach roadway width 10.4 m = 34.1 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 1 - way traffic [1]	Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	ol									
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 5000 Roadwa	y improvement cost 1000								
replacements. [50]	Length of structure improvement 23.8 m = 78.1 ft	Total project cost 6000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate									
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present des					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Countermeasures have been	Countermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7]						
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well v required or are in a stable con	Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]		aluation Functionally obsolet	e [2]			
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficienc	y rating 69.5				
	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings Traffic safety features - transition					1			
Traffic safety features - approach								
Traffic safety features - approach								
Inspection date August 2017		ection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	tion date					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date						